arXiv:1102.2457v1 [math.NT] 11 Feb 2011

ARCHIMEDEAN ZETA INTEGRALS ON $GL_n \times GL_m$ AND $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_m$

TAKU ISHII AND ERIC STADE

Abstract. In this paper, we evaluate archimedean zeta integrals for automorphic L-functions on $GL_n \times GL_{n-1+\ell}$ and on $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n+\ell}$, for $\ell = -1$, 0, and 1. In each of these cases, the zeta integrals in question may be expressed as Mellin transforms of products of class one Whittaker functions. Here, we obtain explicit expressions for these Mellin transforms in terms of Gamma functions and Barnes integrals.

When $\ell = 0$ or $\ell = 1$, the archimedean zeta integrals amount to integrals over the full torus. We show that, as has been predicted by Bump for such domains of integration, these zeta integrals are equal to the corresponding local L-factors – which are simple rational combinations of Gamma functions. In the cases of $GL_n \times GL_{n-1}$ and $GL_n \times GL_n$ this has, in large part, been shown previously by the second author of the present work, though the results here are more general in that they do not require the assumption of trivial central characters. (Our techniques here are also quite different. New formulas for $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ Whittaker functions, obtained recently by the authors of this work, allow for substantially simplifed computations.) For the cases of $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_n$ and $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n+1}$, results such as these have been deduced previously only for a few instances of small rank.

In the case $\ell = -1$, we express our archimedean zeta integrals explicitly in terms of Gamma functions and certain Barnes-type integrals. These evaluations rely on new recursive formulas, derived herein, for $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ Whittaker functions. Our results concerning these zeta integrals, in these situations, generalize work of Hoffstein and Murty for the case of $GL_3 \times GL_1$, and parallel similar results, obtained by the first author of the present work and by Moriyama, for the spinor L-function on GSp_2.

Finally, in our appendix, we indicate an approach to certain unramified calculations, on $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_n$ and $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n+1}$, that parallels our method in the corresponding archimedean situation. While the unramified theory has already been treated using more direct methods, we hope that the connections evoked herein might facilitate future archimedean computations.

Introduction

In this paper we investigate the behavior, at archimedean places, of zeta integrals associated to certain automorphic forms and L-functions, and develop explicit formulas for these integrals at such places.

More specifically: let G and G' be reductive algebraic groups; let π and π' be automorphic cuspidal representations of $G(\mathbf{A})$ and $G'(\mathbf{A})$ respectively, where $\mathbf{A}$ denotes the adeles over a global field F. Following Langlands [20], one may define a global L-function $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$, for $s \in \mathbf{C}$ of sufficiently large real part. The Langlands program then predicts that $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ should admit meromorphic continuation in s (with only finitely many poles in $\mathbf{C}$), and a functional equation under $(\pi, \pi', s) \to (\pi^\vee, (\pi')^\vee, 1 - s)$ (where $\pi^\vee$ and $(\pi')^\vee$ are the representations contragredient to π and π', respectively).

An especially powerful and fruitful approach to the study of $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ is through the zeta-integral method. At the core of this method is the construction of a certain "global zeta

---

Date: January 12, 2013.

integral" $Z(s,\varphi\times\varphi')$, which is, typically, an adelic integral involving automorphic forms associated with π, π', and s. The specific form taken by $Z(s,\varphi\times\varphi')$ will depend on the choice of G and G'; see (0.1) below for details, in the cases of concern to us in this article. In any case, the automorphicity and analytic properties of the various factors in the integrand of $Z(s,\varphi\times\varphi')$ should bestow upon this global integral both a meromorphic continuation and a functional equation in s. To deduce similar properties of $L(s,\pi\times\pi')$, one writes both this global L-function and the global integral $Z(s,\varphi\times\varphi')$ as products of local factors, and then compares, at each place of F, the local L-function – which will, generally, amount to a rational combination of gamma functions involving s and the eigenvalues of φ and φ' – with the local zeta integral.

Let us elaborate, in the situations that will concern us in this article, namely: the cases $(G,G')=(GL_n,GL_{n-1+\ell})$ and $(G,G')=(SO_{2n+1},GL_{n+\ell})$, for ℓ an integer with $|\ell|\le 1$. (Of course, by symmetry, our investigations will also apply to the cases of $(G,G')=(GL_n,GL_{n+j})$, for $j=1$ or 2.) See, in particular, the works of Jacquet and Shalika [16], [17], and [18], and of Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika [15], for those cases where $G=GL_n$; the works of Gelbart, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Rallis [6], of Ginzburg [7], and of Soudry [24], [25] for those cases where $G=SO_{2n+1}$; and the monograph of Gelbart and Shahidi [5] for general discussions. We summarize some of the relevant points as follows.

The global zeta integral $Z(s,\varphi,\varphi')$ may be defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Z(s,\varphi,\varphi')\\
&=\begin{cases}
\displaystyle\int_{GL_n(F)\backslash GL_n(\mathbf{A})}\varphi(g)\,\varphi'(g)\,E(g,s)\,dg & \text{if } (G,G')=(GL_n,GL_n),\\
\displaystyle\int_{GL_m(F)\backslash GL_m(\mathbf{A})}\varphi_N\big|_{GL_m}(g)\varphi'(g)\,|\det(g)|^{s-1/2}\,dg & \text{if } (G,G')=(GL_n,GL_m)\\
& \qquad\text{for } m<n,\\
\displaystyle\int_{SO_{2n+1}(F)\backslash SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{A})}\varphi(g)\,E_{\varphi'}\big|_G(g,s)\,dg & \text{if } (G,G')=(SO_{2n+1},GL_{n+1}),\\
\displaystyle\int_{SO_{2m}(F)\backslash SO_{2m}(\mathbf{A})}\varphi_N\big|_{SO_{2m}}(g)\,E_{\varphi'}(g,s)\,dg & \text{if } (G,G')=(SO_{2n+1},GL_m)\\
& \qquad\text{for } m\le n.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\tag{0.1}
$$

We explain: in each case, φ and φ' are cusp forms in the spaces of π and π' respectively. Also, $E(g,s)$ denotes a maximal parabolic Eisenstein series on GL_n, while $E_{\varphi'}(g,s)$ denotes an Eisenstein series on SO_{2m}, induced from the representation $\pi\otimes|\det|^{s-1/2}$ on the Levi component $M_m\cong GL_m$ of the Siegel parabolic subgroup P_m of SO_{2m}. Further, in general, $f|_D$ denotes restriction of the function f to the subdomain D. (In the cases above, the indicated subdomains must be realized as subgroups of the original domains in suitable ways.) Finally, φ_N denotes an averaging of φ, weighted by a nondegenerate character ψ, over a certain subgroup N of GL_n (in the case of $GL_n\times GL_m$) or of SO_{2n+1} (in the case of $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_m$). (In the latter case, N is trivial when $m=n$.)

Now let us write $\pi=\otimes_\nu\pi_\nu$, with π_ν a representation of $G(F_\nu)$ for each place ν of F, and similarly write $\pi'=\otimes_\nu\pi'_\nu$. Then we have the factorization $L(s,\pi\times\pi')=\prod_\nu L(s,\pi_\nu\times\pi'_\nu)$. We will also assume, from now on, that π' is *generic*, meaning it admits a nonzero Whittaker model. (This is automatic in the cases $G'=GL_n$, GL_{n+1}, and GL_{n+2} – automorphic representations of GL_m are always generic. For this same reason, π will, in our situation, always admit a nonzero Whittaker model.) Then, by the Rankin-Selberg unfolding method, the global integral $Z(s,\varphi,\varphi')$ may itself be expressed as a product of local factors $Z_\nu(s,W_\nu,W'_\nu)$. Here, W_ν is a Whittaker function for π_ν and W'_ν a Whittaker function for π'_ν, and $Z_\nu(s,W_\nu,W'_\nu)$ may be seen to amount, essentially, to a certain Mellin transform of W_ν times W'_ν. (More specific details on these local Whittaker functions and zeta integrals will be provided in the sections to follow.)

Again, the program at hand entails the comparison of $L(s,\pi_\nu\times\pi'_\nu)$ to $Z_\nu(s,W_\nu,W'_\nu)$, at each place ν. To this end, it has been shown that, if ν is a nonarchimedean place of F, and π_ν and π'_ν are unramified, class one principal series representations, then $L(s,\pi_\nu\times\pi'_\nu)$ and $Z_\nu(s,W_\nu,W'_\nu)$ are, in each of the cases delineated above, in fact *equal.* (See the references cited in the fourth paragragh of this Introduction.)

In the present work we will show that, in certain circumstances, the archimedean places behave like the unramified nonarchimedean ones, while in other circumstances they behave somewhat differently. Specifically, let us and assume that ν is real or complex, and that π_ν and π'_ν are irreducible class one principal series representations. (Irreducibility of such representations is automatic for almost all values of ν and ν'.) We prove that, if these conditions are met, then in all of the above cases in which ℓ is nonnegative, we have

$$Z_\nu(s,W_\nu,W'_\nu)=L(s,\pi_\nu\times\pi'_\nu).$$

These results agree with a conjecture of Bump [Bu3], which states that, in general, archimedean zeta integrals and L-functions should coincide in cases where the former are defined as integrals over the full torus.

Strictly speaking, our computations below will be performed under the assumption that ν is, in fact, *real.* In the cases where $G=GL_{n+1-\ell}$ for some ℓ, the real case implies the complex case, by straightforward relationships (cf. [28]) between real and complex Whittaker functions for GL_m. Analogous relationships between real and complex SO_{2n+1} Whittaker functions may be deduced similarly.

We remark that, in the cases $(G,G')=(GL_n,GL_{n-1})$ and $(G,G')=(GL_n,GL_n)$, equality of the archimedean zeta integrals and the corresponding L-functions has already been demonstrated, under the somewhat more restrictive assumption that the representations in question are induced from representations with trivial central characters. See [14] for the case $G\times G'=GL_2\times GL_2$, [2] for the case $G\times G'=GL_3\times GL_2$, [29] for the general case of $GL_n\times GL_{n-1}$, and [30] for the general case of $GL_n\times GL_n$. By expressing Whittaker functions corresponding to more general central characters in terms of those arising in the case of trivial central characters, we obviate, in the present work, the need for such restrictions. Our proofs herein are further simplified by the use of more recently obtained recursive formulas, developed by the authors in [12], for archimedean Whittaker functions on GL_n.

We also note that, for certain small values of n, the archimedean calculations for $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_{n+\ell}$ have also been developed previously. See [21] for the case of $SO_5\times GL_2$, and [10] for the case of $SO_5\times GL_3$. (Results concerning SO_3 are subsumed by those regarding GL_2, since $SO_3(\mathbf{R})$ Whittaker functions may be realized as special cases of $GL_2(\mathbf{R})$ Whittaker functions. In particular, compare Proposition 1.2, below, in the case $n=2$, with Proposition 1.3 in the case $n=1$.)

In the cases of $GL_n\times GL_{n-2}$ and $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_{n-1}$, the archimedean zeta integrals in question are taken over proper subgroups of the full torus. In such situations one does not, generally, expect equality of these zeta integrals with the associated local L-functions. Indeed Hoffstein and Murty [8] have shown that, in the case of $GL_3\times GL_1$ and for ν real, the quotient

$$Z_\nu(s, W_\nu, W'_\nu)/L(s, \pi_\nu \times \pi'_\nu)$$

is expressible as a single-fold Barnes integral (as defined in Section 1.3 below). In this present work, we extend the results of Hoffstein and Murty to the case of general n, and give explicit formulas for the Barnes integrals that arise in such cases. Our results here may also be seen as analogous to those of the first author of this work and of Moriyama [11], for the spinor L-function on GSp_2.

The present paper will proceed as follows. In Section 1 we recall fundamental notions of principal series representations; we also present a variety of recursive formulas (many of them formerly derived elsewhere, but a number of them new) for class one Whittaker functions, and for Mellin transforms thereof. We also derive some recursive formulas for (multifold) Barnes integrals, which will be critical to our evaluation of archimedean zeta integrals in subsequent sections.

In Section 2 we consider the archimedean zeta integrals for $GL_n \times GL_{n-1+\ell}$, in the cases $\ell = -1, 0, 1$. We demonstrate that, in the last two of these cases, these zeta integrals coincide with the associated archimedean L-functions, which are themselves expressible as products of $(n-1+\ell)n$ Gamma functions. Again, these results are consistent with Bump's conjecture [3] concerning archimedean zeta integrals over a full torus. We also show that, in the first case (where the integration is *not* over the full torus), the archimedean zeta integral equals the associated L-factor (which is in turn equal to a product of $(n-2)n$ Gamma functions) *times* a certain Barnes-type integral (in a single variable of integration). As noted above, this generalizes work of Hoffstein and Murty concerning L-functions on $GL_3 \times GL_1$, and parallels work of the first author of this work and of Moriyama regarding the spinor L-function on GSp_2.

In Section 3 we compute the archimedean zeta integrals for $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n+\ell}$, for ℓ equal to -1, 0, or 1. We demonstrate that, in the last two of these cases, the zeta integral coincides with the associated archimedean L-factor, which itself equals a product of $2n(n+\ell)$ Gamma factors, divided by a product of $n+\ell$ such. (These results again reflect Bump's conjecture, cited directly above, and generalize the work of Niwa [21] in the case of $SO_5 \times GL_2$, and of the first author of this paper [10] for the case of $SO_5 \times GL_3$.) Additionally we demonstrate that, in the case of $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n-1}$ (where the integration is *not* over the full torus), the archimedean zeta integral equals the associated L-factor (which comprises, in this case, $2n(n-1)$ Gamma factors in the numerator and $n-1$ in the denominator) times a single-fold integral of Barnes type.

Finally, in our appendix, we outline an approach to unramified zeta integrals on $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_n$ and on $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n+1}$ that mimics, in number of ways, our treatment of the analogous archimedean entities. While these unramified calculations have been performed previously, in more direct fashions, we hope that the parallels suggested by our method might help elucidate other aspects of the archimedean theory.

The authors would like to thank Professor Takayuki Oda for his time, his expertise, his hospitality, and his generosity, all of which greatly facilitated the collaboration that resulted in this work.

1. Explicit formulas for Whittaker functions

In this section, we discuss class one principal series representations and the associated Whittaker functions. We also recall explicit formulas, obtained by the authors [9], [12], for

Whittaker functions on $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ and $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$, and provide some new formulas concerning $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ Whittaker functions.

1.1. **Class one Whittaker functions.** Let G be the group $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ or $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$. Here $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R}) = SO_{n+1,n}(\mathbf{R})$ is the split special orthogonal group with respect to the anti-diagonal matrix $\begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$ of size $2n+1$. Denote by X the maximal unipotent subgroup of G that consists of upper triangular unipotent matrices in G. Let Y be the subgroup of G defined by

$$Y = \begin{cases} \{\mathrm{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \mid t_i > 0\} & \text{if } G = GL_n(\mathbf{R}), \\ \{\mathrm{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n, 1, t_n^{-1}, \ldots, t_1^{-1}) \mid t_i > 0\} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R}). \end{cases}$$

We introduce coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ $(y_i > 0)$ on Y by putting $y_i = t_i/t_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$ and $y_n = t_n$; we then write

$$\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_n] = \mathrm{diag}(y_1 \cdots y_n, y_2 \cdots y_n, \ldots, y_n) \in GL_n(\mathbf{R}),$$
$$\beta[y_1, \ldots, y_n] = \mathrm{diag}(y_1 \cdots y_n, \ldots, y_n, 1, y_n^{-1}, \ldots, (y_1 \cdots y_n)^{-1}) \in SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R}).$$

We write $O(n) = \{g \in GL_n(\mathbf{R}) \mid {}^t g g = 1\}$ and $SO(m) = SL_m(\mathbf{R}) \cap O(m)$, and take K to be the maximal compact subgroup of G defined by

$$K = \begin{cases} O(n) & \text{if } G = GL_n(\mathbf{R}), \\ SO(2n+1) \cap G \cong SO(n) \times SO(n+1) & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R}). \end{cases}$$

Then the Iwasawa decomposition $G = XYK$ holds.

To introduce the notion of a Whittaker function, we define a nondegenerate unitary character ψ on X by

$$\psi(x) = \exp\Big(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j,j+1}\Big), \quad x = (x_{j,k}) \in X.$$

Here $m = n-1$ if $G = GL_n(\mathbf{R})$, while $m = n$ if $G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$. Let $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ be the space of smooth functions $w : G \to \mathbf{C}$ satisfying

$$w(xg) = \psi(x) w(g), \quad \text{for all } (x, g) \in X \times G.$$

By the right regular action, the space $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ becomes a G-module. For an irreducible admissible smooth representation π of G, it is known that the dimension of the space $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \mathcal{W}(\psi))$ of intertwining operators is at most one. Throughout, we will assume that π is *generic*, meaning this dimension is, in fact, exactly equal to one. (Again, this stipulation is redundant in the case of $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$.)

We denote by $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ the image of π in $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$. Then, for a vector $v \in \pi$, we define the (ψ-) *Whittaker function attached to* v to be the image W_v (uniquely defined up to a scalar) of v in $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, under such an intertwining operator.

In this paper we consider Whittaker functions for *class one principal series representations* of G, defined as follows. Let H be the Borel subgroup of G with Langlands decomposition

$H = MYX$, where

$$M = \begin{cases} \{\mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \mid \varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}\} & \text{if } G = GL_n(\mathbf{R}), \\ \{\mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, 1, \varepsilon_n, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \mid \varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}\} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R}). \end{cases}$$

Let

$$y^{\rho,A} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{j(n-j)/2}, \quad y^{\rho,B} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{j(n-j/2)}.$$

Then for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$, we define characters χ_a^A, χ_b^B of H by

$$\begin{cases} \chi_a^A(myx) = y^{\rho,A} \prod_{j=1}^n y_j^{a_1+\cdots+a_j} & \text{if } G = GL_n(\mathbf{R}), \\ \chi_b^B(myx) = y^{\rho,B} \prod_{j=1}^n y_j^{b_1+\cdots+b_j} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R}), \end{cases}$$

where $m \in M$, $x \in X$, and

$$Y \ni y = \begin{cases} \alpha[y_1, \dots, y_n] & \text{if } G = GL_n(\mathbf{R}), \\ \beta[y_1, \dots, y_n] & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R}). \end{cases}$$

We call the induced representations

$$\pi_a^A = \mathrm{Ind}_H^{GL_n(\mathbf{R})}(\chi_a^A) \text{ and } \pi_b^B = \mathrm{Ind}_H^{SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})}(\chi_b^B)$$

the *class one principal series representations* of $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ and $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$, respectively.

There is then a vector v_0 in π_a^A (respectively, π_b^B), unique up to constants, satisfying $\pi_a^A(k)v_0 = v_0$ (respectively, $\pi_b^B(k)v_0 = v_0$) for all $k \in K$. We call the Whittaker function W_{v_0} attached to v_0 the *class one Whittaker function* on G. (This Whittaker function is uniquely defined only up to scalars; it is conventional to normalize our choice of W_{v_0} by stipulating that $W_{v_0}(e) = 1$, where e is the identity in G.) Since the class one Whittaker function W_{v_0} on G is a right K-invariant function, the Iwasawa decomposition implies that W_{v_0} is determined by its restriction $W_{v_0}|_Y$ to Y. Conversely, we can extend a function w on Y to a function on G by defining

$$w(g) = \psi(x(g))w(y(g)), \quad g \in G, \tag{1.1}$$

where $g = x(g)y(g)k(g)$ $(x(g) \in X, y(g) \in Y, k(g) \in K)$ is the Iwasawa decomposition of g.

1.2. **Integral representations of class one Whittaker functions.** We now wish to present explicit formulas for the class one Whittaker functions on G. Since these formulas relate Whittaker functions on $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ and $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$ to those on $GL_{n-1}(\mathbf{R})$ and $SO_{2n-1}(\mathbf{R})$ respectively, we need to first do the same for our class one principal series representations.

Definition 1.1. (1) To the class one principal series representation π_a^A of $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$, we associate a class one principal series representation $\pi_{\widetilde{a}}$ $(\widetilde{a} = (\widetilde{a}_1, \dots, \widetilde{a}_{n-1}))$ of $GL_{n-1}(\mathbf{R})$ by putting $\widetilde{a}_j = a_{j+1} + a_1/(n-1)$ for $1 \le j \le n-1$.

(2) To the class one principal series representation π_b^B of $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$, we associate a class one principal series representation $\pi_{\widetilde{b}}^B$ $(\widetilde{b} = (\widetilde{b}_1, \dots, \widetilde{b}_{n-1}))$ of $SO_{2n-1}(\mathbf{R})$ by putting $\widetilde{b}_j = b_j$ for $1 \le j \le n-1$.

Note that, if we write

$$|a| = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j, \quad |\widetilde{a}| = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \widetilde{a}_j$$

for π^A_a and $\pi^A_{\widetilde{a}}$, then have $|a| = |\widetilde{a}|$.

Our explicit formulas for class one Whittaker functions in terms of the associated class one principal series representations are given by the following two propositions.

Proposition 1.2. [12, cf. Theorem 14] *For $G = GL_n(\mathbf{R})$, we define a function*

$$W^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_n]) = y^{\rho,A}\,\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},y_n]) = y^{\rho,A}\cdot y^{|a|}\widehat{W}_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},1])$$

on Y by the recursive relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},1])\\
&= \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \widehat{W}^A_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}\left(\alpha\left[y_2\sqrt{\frac{t_2}{t_1}},\dots,y_{n-1}\sqrt{\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_{n-2}}},1\right]\right)\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\exp\Bigl\{-(\pi y_j)^2 t_j-\frac{1}{t_j}\Bigr\}\cdot(\pi y_j)^{(n-j)a_1/(n-1)}t_j^{na_1/(2(n-1))}\right](\pi t_{n-1})^{-|a|/2}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{dt_j}{t_j}
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 3$, and $\widehat{W}^A_{2,(a_1,a_2)}(\alpha[y_1,1]) = 2y_1^{(a_1+a_2)/2}K_{(a_1-a_2)/2}(2\pi y_1)$. (Here, K denotes the K-Bessel function, cf. [31]*.) If we extend the function $W^A_{n,a}$ to G by (1.1), then $W^A_{n,a}$ gives a class one Whittaker function on G.*

Proof. In our previous paper [12] we considered the context of $SL_n(\mathbf{R})$, that is, we assumed $|a| = 0$ for the parameter of the class one principal series representation. The recursive relation for the radial part

$$\widehat{W}^{SL}_{n,\nu}(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}) = \widehat{W}^{SL}_{n,\nu}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},(y_1y_2^2\cdots y_{n-1}^{n-1})^{-1/n}])$$

of the "ρ-shifted" Whittaker function on $SL_n(\mathbf{R})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{W}^{SL}_{n,\nu}(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}) = \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} &\widehat{W}^{SL}_{n-1,\widetilde{\nu}}\left(y_2\sqrt{\frac{t_2}{t_1}},\dots,y_{n-1}\sqrt{\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_{n-2}}}\right)\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\exp\Bigl\{-(\pi y_j)^2 t_j-\frac{1}{t_j}\Bigr\}\cdot(\pi y_j)^{(n-j)\nu_1/(n-1)}t_j^{n\nu_1/(2(n-1))}\frac{dt_j}{t_j}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

([12, Theorem 14]). Here $\nu = (\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)\in\mathbf{C}^n$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i = 0$, and $\widetilde{\nu} = (\widetilde{\nu}_1,\dots,\widetilde{\nu}_{n-1})$ with $\widetilde{\nu}_i = \nu_{i+1}+\nu_1/(n-1)$. In view of the formula

$$W^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_n]) = (\det\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_n])^{|a|/n}W^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},(y_1y_2^2\cdots y_{n-1}^{n-1})^{-1/n}]),$$

we find, writing $\nu_i = a_i - |a|/n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, that

$$\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},1]) = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{j|a|/n}\right]\widehat{W}^{SL}_{n,\nu}(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}). \tag{1.2}$$

From this, and the fact that $|\widetilde{a}| = |a|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},1]) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{j|a|/n}\int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}}&\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\left(y_{j+1}\sqrt{\frac{t_{j+1}}{t_j}}\right)^{-j|a|/(n-1)}\\
&\times\widehat{W}^A_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}\left(\alpha\left[y_2\sqrt{\frac{t_2}{t_1}},\dots,y_{n-1}\sqrt{\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_{n-2}}},1\right]\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \exp\Big\{ -(\pi y_j)^2 t_j - \frac{1}{t_j} \Big\} \cdot (\pi y_j)^{(n-j)\nu_1/(n-1)} t_j^{n\nu_1/(2(n-1))} \frac{dt_j}{t_j} \right].$$

We can check that

$$\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{j|a|/n} \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \bigg(y_{j+1} \sqrt{\frac{t_{j+1}}{t_j}} \bigg)^{-j|a|/(n-1)} \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\pi y_j)^{(n-j)\nu_1/(n-1)} t_j^{n\nu_1/(2(n-1))} \right]$$
$$= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\pi y_j)^{(n-j)a_1/(n-1)} t_j^{na_1/(2(n-1))} \right] \cdot (\pi t_{n-1})^{-|a|/2}.$$

So our recursive formula in [12] yields the recursive formula in the statement of the present proposition, and we are done. □

Proposition 1.3. [9, Theorem 3.1] *For $G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$, we define a function*

$$W^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1, \dots, y_n]) = y^{\rho,B}\, \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1, \dots, y_n])$$

on Y by the recursive relation

$$\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1, \dots, y_n])$$
$$= \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^n} \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \widehat{W}^B_{n-1,\widetilde{b}} \left(\beta \left[y_2 \sqrt{\frac{t_2 u_2}{t_3 u_1}}, \dots, y_{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{t_{n-1} u_{n-1}}{t_n u_{n-2}}}, y_n \sqrt{\frac{t_n}{u_{n-1}}} \right] \right)$$
$$\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp\Big\{ -(\pi y_j)^2 t_j - \frac{1}{t_j} \Big\} \cdot (\pi y_j)^{b_n} \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \exp\Big\{ -(\pi y_j)^2 \frac{t_j}{t_{j+1}} u_j - \frac{1}{u_j} \Big\} \cdot (t_{j+1} u_j)^{b_n/2} \right]$$
$$\times\, t_1^{b_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{du_j}{u_j} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dt_j}{t_j}$$

for $n \geq 2$, and $\widehat{W}^B_{1,(b_1)}(\beta[y_1]) = 2K_{b_1/2}(2\pi y_1)$. If we extend the function $W^B_{n,b}$ to G by (1.1), then $W^B_{n,b}$ gives a class one Whittaker function on G.

For later use, we derive a new, additional recursive formula for $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ class one Whittaker functions.

Proposition 1.4. *For $s \in \mathbf{C}$, define*

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2). \tag{1.3}$$

We then have the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_n]) &= \left[\prod_{j=2}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a_1 - a_j + 1) \right] \cdot y_n^{|a|} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{-ja_1/(n-1)} \right] \\ &\times \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \widehat{W}^A_{n-1,\widetilde{a}} \left(\alpha \left[y_1 \frac{\sqrt{q_1 q_3}}{q_2}, y_2 \frac{\sqrt{q_2 q_4}}{q_3}, \dots, y_{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{q_{n-1} q_{n+1}}}{q_n} \right] \right) \\ &\times \exp\bigg\{ -2\pi i \bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_j x_{j+1}}{q_{j+1}} y_j \bigg) \bigg\} \cdot q_1^{-na_1/(2(n-1))} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{dx_j}{\sqrt{q_j}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$q_j \equiv q_j(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = 1 + \sum_{p=j}^{n-1} x_p^2 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1; \quad q_n = q_{n+1} = x_n = 1.$$

Proof. To prove the relation (1.4), we recall the original integral representation of class one $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ Whittaker functions, introduced by Jacquet [13]:

$$J_{n,a}(g) = \int_X H_{n,a}(w_n x g)\psi^{-1}(x)\, dx, \quad w_n = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}, g \in GL_n(\mathbf{R}).$$

Here $H_{n,a}(g) = \chi_a(y(g)) = y^{\rho,A} \prod_{j=1}^n y_j^{a_1+\cdots+a_j}$ if $y(g) = \alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$. Then, as is shown in [26], $J_{n,a}(g)$ is related to the class one Whittaker function $W_{n,a}^A$ defined above by the formula

$$W_{n,a}^A(g) = \left[\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a_j - a_k + 1)\right] J_{n,a}(g). \tag{1.5}$$

For $x \in X$ as in the Jacquet integral, let use write $x = (x_{j,k})_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}$. As in [26, §3], the substitutions $x_{j,k} \to (\prod_{p=j}^{k-1} y_p)x_{j,k}$ $(1 \leq j < k \leq n)$ imply that

$$J_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_n]) = y^{\rho,A} \widehat{J}_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_n]),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J}_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_n]) &= y_n^{|a|} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{-(a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_{n-j})+|a|}\right] \\ &\times \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n(n-1)/2}} H_{n,a}(w_n x) \cdot \exp\left(-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j,j+1} y_j\right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} dx_{j,k}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

The following explicit formula for $H_{n,a}(w_n x)$ given in [26, Appendix]:

$$H_{n,a}(w_n x) = \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (\Delta_{n,m}(x))^{(a_{n-m+1} - a_{n-m} - 1)/2}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\Delta_{n,m}(x)$ is the sum of the squares of the $m \times m$ subdeterminants of the $m \times n$ matrix formed from the top m rows of x. Using this formula, we will modify the integral (1.6) to prove our proposition. Roughly speaking, the idea here is that that, if we integrate the integrand in (1.6) over those $x_{j,k}$ with $1 \leq j < k \leq n-1$ only, we are left with a certain integral involving $\widehat{J}_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}$.

We first relate $H_{n,a}$ to $H_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}$.

Claim. *For $x = (x_{j,k})_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \in X$, let introduce new variables x_j $(1 \leq j \leq n-1)$, and an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ upper triangular unipotent matrix $x' = (x'_{j,k})_{1 \leq j,k \leq n-1}$, by the relations*

$$x_{j,n} = \sum_{\ell=j}^{n-1} x_{j,\ell} x_\ell \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1),$$

and

$$x_{j,k}=\sqrt{\frac{q_jq_{k+1}}{q_{j+1}q_k}}\biggl(x'_{j,k}-\sum_{\ell=j}^{k-1}x_\ell x_k\sqrt{\frac{q_k}{q_\ell q_{\ell+1}q_{k+1}}}x'_{j,\ell}\biggr)\quad(1\le j<k\le n-1).$$

Then we have

$$H_{n,a}(w_nx)=\left[\prod_{m=1}^{n-1}\biggl(\frac{q_1}{q_{m+1}}\biggr)^{(a_{n-m+1}-a_{n-m}-1)/2}\right]H_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(w_{n-1}x').$$

Proof of Claim. We can write $x=\widetilde{x}\kappa$, with

$$\widetilde{x}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & x''\\ q_1^{-1/2} & \overline{x}\end{pmatrix},\quad \kappa=\begin{pmatrix}\overline{\kappa} & q_1^{-1/2}\\ \kappa' & {}^t\overline{x}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}x''&=\bigl((q_j/q_{j+1})^{1/2}x'_{j,k}\bigr)_{1\le j,k\le n-1},\\ \overline{x}&=\Bigl(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{q_1q_2}},\frac{x_2}{\sqrt{q_2q_3}},\ldots,\frac{x_{n-2}}{\sqrt{q_{n-2}q_{n-1}}},\frac{x_{n-1}}{\sqrt{q_{n-1}}}\Bigr),\\ \overline{\kappa}&=\Bigl(-\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{q_1}},-\frac{x_2}{\sqrt{q_1}},\ldots,-\frac{x_{n-1}}{\sqrt{q_1}}\Bigr),\end{aligned}$$

and $\kappa'=(\kappa'_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le n-1}$ with

$$\kappa'_{j,k}=\begin{cases}(q_{j+1}/q_j)^{1/2} & \text{if } 1\le j=k\le n-1,\\ -(q_jq_{j+1})^{-1/2}x_jx_k & \text{if } 1\le j<k\le n-1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We check that $\kappa\in K$. Then, since H_a is right K-invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned}H_{n,a}(w_nx)&=H_{n,a}(w_n\widetilde{x})\\ &=\prod_{m=1}^{n-1}(\Delta_{n,m}(\widetilde{x}))^{(a_{n-m+1}-a_{n-m}-1)/2}\\ &=\prod_{m=1}^{n-1}\biggl(\frac{q_1}{q_{m+1}}\cdot\Delta_{n-1,m}(x')\biggr)^{(a_{n-m+1}-a_{n-m}-1)/2}\\ &=\left[\prod_{m=1}^{n-1}\biggl(\frac{q_1}{q_{m+1}}\biggr)^{(a_{n-m+1}-a_{n-m}-1)/2}\right]H_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(w_{n-1}x').\end{aligned}$$

Here we used (1.7) and the relation $\widetilde{a}_{n-m+1}-\widetilde{a}_{n-m}=a_{n-m+1}-a_{n-m}$. This proves the claim.

Returning to the integral (1.6), we change variables from $x_{j,k}$ $(1\le j<k\le n)$ to $x'_{j,k}$ $(1\le j<k\le n-1)$ and x_j $(1\le j\le n-1)$; we further substitute $x_j\to(-1)^{n-j-1}x_j$ $(1\le j\le n-1)$. Then we get

$$\widehat{J}_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_n])=y_n^{|a|}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}y_j^{-(a_1+\cdots+a_{n-j})+|a|}\right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n(n-1)/2}} H_{\widetilde{a}}(w_{n-1}x) \cdot \exp\biggl(-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{\sqrt{q_j q_{j+2}}}{q_{j+1}} x'_{j,j+1} y_j\biggr) \\
&\times \exp\biggl\{-2\pi i \biggl(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_j x_{j+1}}{q_{j+1}} y_j\biggr)\biggr\} \\
&\times \biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \biggl(\frac{q_1}{q_{j+1}}\biggr)^{(a_{n-j+1}-a_{n-j}-1)/2}\biggr] \biggl[\prod_{1\le j<k\le n-1} \sqrt{\frac{q_j q_{k+1}}{q_{j+1} q_k}}\,\biggr] \\
&\times \prod_{1\le j<k\le n-1} dx'_{j,k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} dx_j .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can use (1.6) to integrate with respect to $x'_{j,k}$; we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{J}_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_n]) = {} & y_n^{|a|} \biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{-(a_1+\cdots+a_{n-j})+|a|}\biggr] \cdot y_{n-1}^{-|a|} \biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} y_j^{(\widetilde{a}_1+\cdots+\widetilde{a}_{n-j-1})-|a|}\biggr] \\
& \times \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \widehat{J}_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}\biggl(\alpha\biggl[y_1 \frac{\sqrt{q_1 q_3}}{q_2}, y_2 \frac{\sqrt{q_2 q_4}}{q_3}, \ldots, y_{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{q_{n-1} q_{n+1}}}{q_n}\biggr]\biggr) \\
& \times \exp\biggl\{-2\pi i \biggl(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_j x_{j+1}}{q_{j+1}} y_j\biggr)\biggr\} \\
& \times \biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \biggl(\frac{q_1}{q_{j+1}}\biggr)^{(a_{n-j+1}-a_{n-j}-1)/2}\biggr] \biggl[\prod_{1\le j<k\le n-1} \sqrt{\frac{q_j q_{k+1}}{q_{j+1} q_k}}\,\biggr] \\
& \times q_{n-1}^{-\frac{|a|}{2}} \biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \biggl(\frac{\sqrt{q_j q_{j+2}}}{q_{j+1}}\biggr)^{(\widetilde{a}_1+\cdots+\widetilde{a}_{n-j-1})-|a|}\biggr] \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} dx_j .
\end{aligned}
$$

By collecting the powers of y_j and q_j, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{J}_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_n]) \\
& = y_n^{|a|} \biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{-ja_1/(n-1)}\biggr] \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \widehat{J}_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}\biggl(\alpha\biggl[y_1 \frac{\sqrt{q_1 q_3}}{q_2}, y_2 \frac{\sqrt{q_2 q_4}}{q_3}, \ldots, y_{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{q_{n-1} q_{n+1}}}{q_n}\biggr]\biggr) \\
& \times \exp\biggl\{-2\pi i \biggl(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_j x_{j+1}}{q_{j+1}} y_j\biggr)\biggr\} \cdot q_1^{-na_1/(2(n-1))-1/2} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} q_j^{-1/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} dx_j .
\end{aligned}
$$

The relation (1.5) then completes the proof of this proposition. □

1.3. **Mellin transforms of class one Whittaker functions.** Here we recall certain *Mellin-Barnes-type* representations of class one Whittaker functions. By such a representation we mean, essentially, an integral (perhaps multifold) over vertical lines in the complex plane, the integrand being a rational expression in Gamma functions times a product of powers of the independent variables in question, and the paths of integration being indented, if necessary, to separate increasing and decreasing sequences of poles.

For complex numbers s_j, let $U_{n,a}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1})$ and $V_{n,b}(s_1,\dots,s_n)$ be Mellin transforms of $\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(y)$ and $\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(y)$ respectively:

$$U_{n,a}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1})=\int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}}\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},1])\Biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}y_j^{s_j}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\Biggr],$$

and

$$V_{n,b}(s_1,\dots,s_n)=\int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n}}\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1,\dots,y_n])\Biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n}y_j^{s_j}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\Biggr].$$

Then Mellin inversion, and the definitions of $W^A_{n,a}(y)$ and $W^B_{n,b}(y)$ in terms of $\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(y)$ and $\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(y)$ (cf. Propositions 1.2 and 1.3), imply that

$$W^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_n])=y_n^{|a|}\cdot\frac{y^{\rho,A}}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{s_1,\dots,s_{n-1}}U_{n,a}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1})\Biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}y_j^{-s_j}ds_j\Biggr],$$

$$W^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1,\dots,y_n])=\frac{y^{\rho,B}}{(2\pi i)^{n}}\int_{s_1,\dots,s_{n}}V_{n,b}(s_1,\dots,s_{n})\Biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n}y_j^{-s_j}ds_j\Biggr].$$

We now recall recursive relations for the Mellin transforms $U_{n,a}$ and $V_{n,b}$.

Proposition 1.5. [12, Theorem 12] *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}U_{n,a}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1})=\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{n-2}}&\int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}}U_{n-1,\tilde{a}}(z_1,\dots,z_{n-2})\\&\times\Biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Bigl(s_j-z_j-\frac{ja_1}{n-1}\Bigr)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Bigl(s_j-z_{j-1}+\frac{(n-j)a_1}{n-1}\Bigr)\Biggr]\\&\times dz_1\cdots dz_{n-2},\end{aligned}$$

where we understand that $z_0=0$ *and* $z_{n-1}=-|a|$. *Note that* $U_{2,(a_1,a_2)}(s)=2^{-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_2)$.

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 1.2, and set

$$U^{SL}_{n,\nu}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1})=\int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}}\widehat{W}^{SL}_{n,\nu}(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})\Biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}y_j^{s_j}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\Biggr].$$

Then [12, Theorem 12] asserts that

$$\begin{aligned}U^{SL}_{n,\nu}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1})=\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{n-2}}&\int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}}U^{SL}_{n,\tilde{\nu}}(z_1,\dots,z_{n-2})\\&\times\Biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Bigl(s_j-z_j-\frac{j\nu_1}{n-1}\Bigr)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Bigl(s_j-z_{j-1}+\frac{(n-j)\nu_1}{n-1}\Bigr)\Biggr]\\&\times dz_1\cdots dz_{n-2},\end{aligned}$$

with $z_0=z_{n-1}=0$. This, together with the formula

$$U_{n,a}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1})=U^{SL}_{n,\nu}\Bigl(s_1+\frac{|a|}{n},s_2+\frac{2|a|}{n},\dots,s_{n-1}+\frac{(n-1)|a|}{n}\Bigr),$$

which follows immediately from (1.2), then yield the desired result. □

For some investigations below in the case $G' = GL_{n-2}$, we present the following result concerning the Whittaker function contragredient to $W_{n,a}$.

Corollary 1.6. *For a ψ-Whittaker function W on $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$, we put*

$$W^{\vee}(g) = W(w_n {}^t g^{-1}).$$

Then we have

$$(W_{n,a}^A)^{\vee}(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_n]) = W_{n,-a}^A(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_n])$$

and $(W_{n,a}^A)^{\vee} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi_{-a}^A, \psi^{-1})$.

Proof. We first prove

$$U_{n,a}(s_{n-1} - |a|, \dots, s_1 - |a|) = U_{n,-a}(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \tag{1.8}$$

by induction on n. The case $n = 2$ follows automatically from the definitions. Now, by Proposition 1.5 and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_{n,a}(s_{n-1} - |a|, \dots, s_1 - |a|) \\
&= \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{n-2}} \int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}} U_{n-1,-\widetilde{a}}(z_{n-2} + |\widetilde{a}|, \dots, z_1 + |\widetilde{a}|) \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_{n-j} - |a| - z_j - \frac{ja_1}{n-1}\Big)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_{n-j} - |a| - z_{j-1} + \frac{(n-j)a_1}{n-1}\Big)\right] \\
&\times dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We substitute $z_j \to z_{n-j-1} - |\widetilde{a}| = z_{n-j-1} - |a|$, to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_{n,a}(s_{n-1} - |a|, \dots, s_1 - |a|) \\
&= \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{n-2}} \int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}} U_{n-1,-\widetilde{a}}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}) \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_{n-j} - z_{n-j-1} + |a| - \frac{ja_1}{n-1}\Big)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_{n-j} - z_{n-j} + \frac{(n-j)a_1}{n-1}\Big)\right] dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2} \\
&= \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{n-2}} \int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}} U_{n-1,-\widetilde{a}}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}) \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_j - z_{j-1} + |a| - \frac{(n-j)a_1}{n-1}\Big)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_j - z_j + \frac{ja_1}{n-1}\Big)\right] dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2} \\
&= U_{n,-a}(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (1.8).

Now, since $W_{n,a}^A$ is right $O(n)$-invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(W_{n,a}^A)^{\vee}(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_n]) \\
&= (W_{n,a}^A)^{\vee}(w_n {}^t\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_n]^{-1} w_n) \\
&= (W_{n,a}^A)^{\vee}(\alpha[y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, \dots, y_1, (y_1 \cdots y_n)^{-1}])
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{-|a|}\right] \frac{y^{\rho,A}}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{s_1,\ldots,s_{n-1}} U_{n,a}(s_1,\ldots,s_{n-1}) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_{n-j}^{-s_j}\right] ds_1\cdots ds_{n-1}.$$

We substitute $s_j \to s_{n-j} - |a|$ for $1 \le j \le n-1$ and use (1.8), to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(W_{n,a}^A)^\vee(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_n]) \\
&= y_n^{-|a|} \cdot \frac{y^{\rho,A}}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{s_1,\ldots,s_{n-1}} U_{n,a}(s_{n-1}-|a|,\ldots,s_1-|a|) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{-s_j}\right] ds_1\cdots ds_{n-1} \\
&= W_{n,-a}^A(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_n]).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete our proof. □

Our recursive relation for $V_{n,b}$ is given by:

Proposition 1.7. [9, Theorem 4.2] *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{n,b}(s_1,\ldots,s_n) = \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{2n-2}} &\int_{\substack{w_1,\ldots,w_{n-1}\\ z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1}}} V_{n-1,\tilde{b}}(z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1}) \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_j-w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_j-w_{j-1}-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j-z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j-z_{j-1}+b_n)\right] \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_n-w_{n-1}-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_n-z_{n-1}+b_n)\, dz_1\cdots dz_{n-1}\, dw_1\cdots dw_{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where we understand that $w_0 = z_0 = 0$. *Note that* $V_{1,(b_1)}(s) = 2^{-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s-b_1)$.

Remark 1. Technically, the formula given in the above proposition is of a different form from that given in [9, Theorem 4.2]. To show equality of these two formulas, one simply integrates with respect to $w_1,\ldots,w_{n-1}$ in the above formula, using *Barnes' first lemma* (cf. [1]):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_z \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(z+a)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(z+b)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(-z+c)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(-z+d)\,dz \\
&= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a+c)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a+d)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(b+c)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(b+d)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a+b+c+d)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

1.4. Some identities for $U_{n,a}$ and $V_{n,b}$.

In this subsection, we present some useful formulas expressing $U_{n,a}$ (respectively, $V_{n,b}$) as a *Barnes-type* integral of $U_{n,a}$ (respectively $V_{n,b}$). Here, by a Barne-type integral, we mean one of Mellin-Barnes type, as described above, but lacking the "product of powers of the independent variables." That is, a Barnes-type integral is an integral of a rational expression in Gamma functions. In our discussions of such Barnes-type integrals, Barnes' first lemma (1.9) will play a fundamental role.

We start with an easy consequence of Barnes' first lemma.

Lemma 1.8. *Let* γ, δ, c_j *and* d_j $(1 \le j \le n)$ *be complex numbers; also define* $c_0 = d_0 = 0$.

(1) *We have*

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^n}\int_{z_1,\dots,z_n}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j-1}+\gamma+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_{j-1}+\delta-z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_j-z_j)\,dz_j\right]$$
$$=\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_1+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_1+\gamma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\gamma+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_n+d_n)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\gamma+\delta+c_n+d_n)}\cdot\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}}$$
$$\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j+1}+\delta+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_j-z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_{j+1}+\gamma-z_j)\,dz_j\right].$$

(2) *We have*

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j+1}+\gamma+z_j)\right]$$
$$\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_{j-1}+\delta-z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_j-z_j)\,dz_j\right]$$
$$=\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_1+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_n+d_{n-1}+\gamma)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_1+\gamma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_n+d_{n-1}+\delta)}\cdot\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}}$$
$$\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j+1}+\delta+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_{j-1}+\gamma-z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_j-z_j)\,dz_j\right].$$

Proof. Let first us show (1). Using Barnes' first lemma (1.9), we can integrate in all variables on either side. On the left hand side, we get

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j-1}+d_{j-1}+\gamma+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j-1}+d_j+\gamma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+d_{j-1}+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+d_j)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j-1}+c_j+d_{j-1}+d_j+\gamma+\delta)},$$

while on the right hand side, we get

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_1+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_1+\gamma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\gamma+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{n-1}+d_{n-1})}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{n-1}+d_{n-1}+\gamma+\delta)}$$
$$\times\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+d_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+d_{j+1}+\gamma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j+1}+d_j+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j+1}+d_{j+1}+\gamma+\delta)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+c_{j+1}+d_j+d_{j+1}+\gamma+\delta)}.$$

The two sides are then readily seen to be equal.

The latter claim (2) follows similarly from Barnes' first lemma. □

We now present some expressions, to be of use to us in the next two sections, for $U_{n,a}$ as integrals that themselves involve $U_{n,a}$.

Proposition 1.9. (1) *For a complex number σ, we have*

$$U_{n,a}(p_1,\dots,p_{n-1})=\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1}+\sigma+|a|)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma+a_j)}\cdot\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{q_1,\dots,q_{n-1}}U_{n,a}(q_1,\dots,q_{n-1})$$
$$\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{j-1}-q_j+\sigma)\right]dq_1\cdots dq_{n-1}. \tag{1.10}$$

Here we understand that $p_0 = 0$.

(2) *For a complex number* σ, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} U_{n,a}(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}) = {} & \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_1 + \sigma)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma - a_j)} \cdot \frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}} U_{n,a}(q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j - q_j) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{j+1} - q_j + \sigma) \right] dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

Here we understand that $p_n = -|a|$.

Proof. Our proof proceeds by induction on n. The case $n = 2$ amounts to Barnes' first lemma (1.9).

Now by Proposition 1.5, the right hand side of (1.10) is seen to be equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1} + \sigma + |a|)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma + a_j)} \cdot \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{2n-3}} \int_{\substack{q_1, \dots, q_{n-1} \\ r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}}} U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}) \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(q_j - r_j - \frac{j a_1}{n-1} \Big) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(q_j - r_{j-1} + \frac{(n-j) a_1}{n-1} \Big) \right] \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j - q_j) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{j-1} - q_j + \sigma) \right] dr_1 \cdots dr_{n-2} \, dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

where $r_0 = 0$ and $r_{n-1} = -|a|$. To the integral in the q_j's in (1.12), we now apply Lemma 1.8 (1) with $\gamma = a_1$; $\delta = \sigma$; and, for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, $c_j = -r_j - j a_1/(n-1)$ and $d_j = p_j$. We thereby find that (1.12) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1} + \sigma + |a|)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma + a_j)} \cdot \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{2n-4}} \int_{\substack{q_1, \dots, q_{n-2} \\ r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}}} U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}) \\ & \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(-r_1 - \frac{a_1}{n-1} + \sigma) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_1 + a_1) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma + a_1) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1} - a_1 + |a|)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1} + \sigma + a_1 + \cdots + a_n)} \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(q_j - r_j - \frac{j a_1}{n-1} \Big) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(q_j - r_{j+1} + \sigma - \frac{(j+1) a_1}{n-1} \Big) \right] \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j - q_j) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{j+1} - q_j + a_1) \right] dr_1 \cdots dr_{n-2} \, dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-2} \\ & = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=2}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma + a_j)} \cdot \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{2n-4}} \int_{\substack{q_1, \dots, q_{n-2} \\ r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}}} U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}) \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1} - a_1 + |a|) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{n-2} + \sigma - a_1 + |a|) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1} - q_{n-2} + a_1) \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(q_j - r_j - \frac{j a_1}{n-1} \Big) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(q_{j-1} - r_j - \frac{j a_1}{n-1} + \sigma \Big) \right] \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j - q_j) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j - q_{j-1} + a_1) \right] dr_1 \cdots dr_{n-2} \, dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we can integrate with respect to the r_j's, to find that (1.13) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\prod_{j=2}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma+a_j)}\cdot\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{n-2}}\int_{q_1,\dots,q_{n-2}}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-\frac{a_1}{n-1}+\widetilde{a}_j)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{n-2}+\sigma-a_1+|\widetilde{a}|)}\\
&\times U_{n,\widetilde{a}}\Big(q_1-\frac{a_1}{n-1},\dots,q_{n-2}-\frac{(n-2)a_1}{n-1}\Big)\\
&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1}-a_1+|a|)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1}-q_{n-2}+a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{n-2}+\sigma-a_1+|a|)\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_{j-1}+a_1)\right]dq_1\cdots dq_{n-2}\qquad(1.14)\\
&=\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{n-2}}\int_{q_1,\dots,q_{n-2}}U_{n,\widetilde{a}}\Big(q_1-\frac{a_1}{n-1},\dots,q_{n-2}-\frac{(n-2)a_1}{n-1}\Big)\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_{j-1}+a_1)\right]dq_1\cdots dq_{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we've used the fact that that $|a|=|\widetilde{a}|$; also, we've defined $q_{n-1}=a_1-|a|$.

Finally, after the substitution $q_j\to q_j+ja_1/(n-1)$ for $1\le j\le n-2$, we use Proposition 1.5 to find that (1.14) becomes $U_{n,a}(p_1,\dots,p_{n-1})$. Thus we complete the proof of (1.10).

The proof of (1.11) is similar: Again, we use induction on n, with the case $n=2$ being equivalent to Barnes' first lemma (1.9).

To rearrange the integration over the q_j's, we first rewrite the identity in Lemma 1.8 (2) slightly, by integrating with respect to z_{n-1} on the right hand side. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j+1}+\gamma+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_{j-1}+\delta-z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_j-z_j)\,dz_j\right]\\
&=\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_1+\delta)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_n+d_{n-1}+\gamma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{n-1}+d_{n-2}+\gamma)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_1+\gamma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{n-1}+c_n+d_{n-2}+d_{n-1}+\gamma+\delta)}\\
&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{n-1}+d_{n-1})\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_n+d_{n-2}+\gamma+\delta)\\
&\times\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-2}}\int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_j+z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(c_{j+1}+\delta+z_j)\right]\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_{j-1}+\gamma-z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(d_j-z_j)\,dz_j\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying this formula with $c_j=p_j$ $(1\le j\le n-1)$, $c_n=-|a|$, $d_j=-r_j-ja_1/(n-1)$ $(1\le j\le n-2)$, $d_{n-1}=-a_1+|a|$, $\gamma=\sigma$ and $\delta=a_1$, we find that the right hand side of (1.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\prod_{j=2}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-a_j)}\cdot\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{2n-4}}\int_{\substack{q_1,\dots,q_{n-2}\\ r_1,\dots,r_{n-2}}}U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(r_1,\dots,r_{n-2})\\
&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_1+a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{n-1}-a_1+|a|)\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{j+1}-q_j+a_1)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_1+\sigma)\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(q_{j+1}-r_j-\frac{ja_1}{n-1}+\sigma\Big)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(q_j-r_j-\frac{ja_1}{n-1}\Big)\right]$$
$$\times\, dr_1\cdots dr_{n-2}\,dq_1\cdots dq_{n-2}.$$

Using the induction hypothesis to integrate in the r_j's, together with Proposition 1.5, we prove our assertion. ☐

Our $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$-analog of Proposition 1.9 is as follows.

Proposition 1.10. *For a complex number σ, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&V_{n,b}(p_1,\dots,p_n)\\&=\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma+b_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-b_j)}\cdot\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{2n-1}}\int_{\substack{q_1,\dots,q_{n-1}\\ r_1,\dots,r_n}}V_{n,b}(r_1,\dots,r_n)\\&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_j)\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_{j-1}+\sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{j-1}-r_j+\sigma)\right]\\&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-r_n)\,dr_1\cdots dr_n\,dq_1\cdots dq_{n-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.15}$$

Here we understand that $q_0=0$.

Proof. We again proceed by induction on n. The case $n=1$ is an immediate consequence of Barnes' first lemma (1.9).

We now apply Proposition 1.7, to find that the right hand side of (1.15) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma+b_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-b_j)}\cdot\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{4n-3}}\int_{\substack{q_1,\dots,q_{n-1}\\ r_1,\dots,r_n}}\int_{\substack{w_1,\dots,w_{n-1}\\ z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}}}V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\\&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_{j-1}-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j-z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j-z_{j-1}+b_n)\right]\\&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_n-z_{n-1}+b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_n-w_{n-1}-b_n)\\&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_j)\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_{j-1}+\sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{j-1}-r_j+\sigma)\right]\\&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-r_n)\,dz_1\cdots dz_{n-1}\,dw_1\cdots dw_{n-1}\,dr_1\cdots dr_n\,dq_1\cdots dq_{n-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.16}$$

To the integral in the r_j's, in (1.16), we now apply Lemma 1.8 (1), which yields:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^n}\int_{r_1,\dots,r_n}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_{j-1}-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{j-1}-r_j+\sigma)\right]\\&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_n-z_{n-1}+b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_n-w_{n-1}-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-r_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{n-1}-r_n+\sigma)\,dr_1\cdots dr_n\\&=\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-w_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_1-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-z_{n-1}+b_n)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-z_{n-1}+\sigma)}\cdot\frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{r_1,\dots,r_{n-1}}\\&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_{j+1}+\sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{j+1}-r_j-b_n)\right]\\&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1}-w_{n-1})\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1}-z_{n-1}+\sigma+b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{n-1}-r_{n-1})\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-r_{n-1}-b_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times dr_1 \cdots dr_{n-1} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma - b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - z_{n-1} + b_n)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - z_{n-1} + \sigma)} \cdot \frac{1}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{r_1,\dots,r_{n-1}} \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j - w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{j-1} - w_j + \sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j - r_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j - r_{j-1} - b_n) \right] \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1} - z_{n-1} + \sigma + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - r_{n-1} - b_n)\, dr_1 \cdots dr_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (1.16) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma + b_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma - b_j)} \cdot \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{4n-4}} \int_{\substack{q_1,\dots,q_{n-1} \\ r_1,\dots,r_{n-1}}} \int_{\substack{w_1,\dots,w_{n-1} \\ z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}}} V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j - z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j - z_{j-1} + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j - w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{j-1} - w_j + \sigma) \right] \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_1 + \sigma) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j - q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{j+1} - q_j + \sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j - r_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j - r_{j-1} - b_n) \right] \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma - b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - z_{n-1} + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1} - z_{n-1} + \sigma + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - r_{n-1} - b_n)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - z_{n-1} + \sigma)} \\
&\times dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-1}\, dw_1 \cdots dw_{n-1}\, dr_1 \cdots dr_{n-1}\, dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.17}
$$

We apply Lemma 1.8 (1) with $(c_j, d_j, \gamma, \delta) = (-z_j, r_j, \nu_n, \sigma)$ to the integratlin the w_j's, and Lemma 1.8 (2) with $(c_j, d_j, \gamma, \delta) = (p_j, -r_j, \sigma, -\nu)$ to the integral in the q_j's. Then (1.17) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma + b_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma - b_j)} \cdot \frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{4n-5}} \int_{\substack{q_1,\dots,q_{n-1} \\ r_1,\dots,r_{n-1}}} \int_{\substack{w_1,\dots,w_{n-2} \\ z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}}} V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma - z_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_1 + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1} - z_{n-1})}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1} - z_{n-1} + \sigma + b_n)} \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j - z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j - z_{j+1} + \sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j - w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{j+1} - w_j + b_n) \right] \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_1 - b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - r_{n-1} + \sigma)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_1 + \sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - r_{n-1} - b_n)} \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j - q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_{j+1} - q_j - b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j - r_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j - r_{j-1} + \sigma) \right] \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma - b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_1 + \sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - z_{n-1} + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - r_{n-1} - b_n)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n - z_{n-1} + \sigma)} \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1} - z_{n-1} + \sigma + b_n)\, dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-1}\, dw_1 \cdots dw_{n-2}\, dr_1 \cdots dr_{n-1}\, dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.18}
$$

We rewrite (1.18) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma+b_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-b_j)}\cdot\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{4n-5}}\int_{\substack{q_1,\ldots,q_{n-1}\\ r_1,\ldots,r_{n-1}}}\int_{\substack{w_1,\ldots,w_{n-2}\\ z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1}}}V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1})\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_{j-1}+b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{j+1}-r_j+\sigma)\right]\\
&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1}-z_{n-1})\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1}-w_{n-2}+b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{n-1}-r_{n-1})\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-r_{n-1}+\sigma)\\
&\times\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_1+\sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-z_{n-1}+\nu_n)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-z_{n-1}+\sigma)}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j-z_j)\right]\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_{j-1}-z_j+\sigma)\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_{j-1}-b_n)\right]\\
&\times dz_1\cdots dz_{n-1}\,dw_1\cdots dw_{n-2}\,dr_1\cdots dr_{n-1}\,dq_1\cdots dq_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{1.19}$$

We apply Lemma 1.8 (2) to the integral in $r_1,\ldots,r_{n-1}$,to find that (1.19) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma+b_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-b_j)}\cdot\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{4n-5}}\int_{\substack{q_1,\ldots,q_{n-1}\\ r_1,\ldots,r_{n-1}}}\int_{\substack{w_1,\ldots,w_{n-2}\\ z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1}}}V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1})\\
&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_1+b_n)\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_j)\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_{j+1}-r_j+b_n)\right]\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-r_{n-1}+b_n)\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_j)\right]\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1}-z_{n-1})\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_{j-1}+\sigma)\right]\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j-z_j)\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_{j-1}-z_j+\sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\right]\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_{j-1}-b_n)\right]dz_1\cdots dz_{n-1}\,dw_1\cdots dw_{n-2}\,dr_1\cdots dr_{n-1}\,dq_1\cdots dq_{n-1}.\\
&=\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma+b_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(\sigma-b_j)}\cdot\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{4n-5}}\int_{\substack{q_1,\ldots,q_{n-1}\\ r_1,\ldots,r_{n-1}}}\int_{\substack{w_1,\ldots,w_{n-2}\\ z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1}}}V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1})\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j-z_j)\right]\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_{j-1}-z_j+\sigma)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_j-w_{j-1}+\sigma)\right]\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(r_{n-1}-z_{n-1})\\
&\times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_{j-1}-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_{j-1}+b_n)\right]\\
&\times\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-r_{n-1}+b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-q_{n-1}-b_n)\\
&\times dz_1\cdots dz_{n-1}\,dw_1\cdots dw_{n-2}\,dr_1\cdots dr_{n-1}\,dq_1\cdots dq_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{1.20}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we can perform the integration over the w_j's and z_j's. Thus (1.20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2^{-1}}{(4\pi i)^{2n-2}} \int_{\substack{q_1,\ldots,q_{n-1}\\ r_1,\ldots,r_{n-1}}} V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(r_1,\ldots,r_{n-1}) \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_j-q_{j-1}-b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(q_j-r_{j-1}+b_n)\right] \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-r_{n-1}+b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(p_n-q_{n-1}-b_n)\,dr_1\cdots dr_{n-1}\,dq_1\cdots dq_{n-1} \\
&= V_{n,b}(p_1,\ldots,p_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Proposition 1.7, and our proof is complete. □

2. ARCHIMEDEAN ZETA INTEGRALS ON $GL_n \times GL_m$

In this section, we explicitly calculate archimedean zeta integrals for degree nm L-functions on $GL_n \times GL_m$ when $0 \le n-m \le 2$, by applying some formulas given in the previous section. When $m = n$ or $n-1$, and in the case when the class one principal series representations in question are induced from characters trivial on the center of GL_n and GL_m, the second author [29] [30] has proved the coincidence of the local zeta integrals and the local Langlands L-factors, by way of a recursive relation between $U_{n,a}$ and $U_{n-2,a}$ obtained in [29]. Here we give another proof, which uses a relation between $U_{n,a}$ and $U_{n-1,a}$, as well as Proposition 1.9 in §2.2 below. The present proof will not require the assumption of trivial central characters that was stipulated in the earlier proof.

For general n and m, Jacquet and Shalika [18] have proved local functional equations for, and non-vanishing of, the ratio of the archimedean zeta integral to the corresponding L-factor. If $n > m+1$, it is not generally expected that the archimedean zeta integrals should coincide with the local L-factors. And indeed Hoffstein and Murty [8], in the case of $(n,m) = (3,1)$, have expressed the ratio of this local integral to this local L-function as a certain integral of Barnes type.

In this section we generalize the work of Hoffstein and Murty, by expressing this ratio of archimedean zeta integral to archimedean L-factor, in the case of $GL_n \times GL_{n-2}$ for any n, explicitly as a Barnes-type integral.

2.1. **Barnes integral expressions for archimedean zeta integrals.**

We first recall the archimedean zeta integrals for the standard L-functions on $GL_n \times GL_m$. Let W and W' be ψ and ψ^{-1}-Whittaker functions on $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ and $GL_m(\mathbf{R})$, respectively. Let $X_n(\mathbf{R})$ be the standard maximal unipotent subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ consisting of upper triangular unipotent matrices. The archimedean zeta integrals we want to study are

$$Z(s,W,W') = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{X_n(\mathbf{R})\backslash GL_n(\mathbf{R})} W(g)W'(g)\Phi(e_n g)\,|\det g|^s\,dg & \text{if } m = n, \\ \displaystyle\int_{X_m(\mathbf{R})\backslash GL_m(\mathbf{R})} W\begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & 1_{n-m}\end{pmatrix} W'(g)\,|\det g|^{s-(n-m)/2}\,dg & \text{if } m < n. \end{cases}$$

Here Φ is a Schwartz-Bruhat function on $\mathbf{R}^n$ defined by

$$\Phi(x) = \exp\Big(-\pi\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\Big) \quad (x = (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n),$$

and $e_n = (0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

In the case $m \le n-2$, the zeta integral

$$Z^\vee(s, W, W') = \int_{M_{n-m-1,m}(\mathbf{R})} \int_{X_m(\mathbf{R})\backslash GL_m(\mathbf{R})} W \begin{pmatrix} g & & \\ x & 1_{n-m-1} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} W'(g) \, |\det g|^{s-(n-m)/2} \, dx \, dg$$

will also be of interest.

Now let us assume that W and W' are class one Whittaker functions. We write $W = W^A_{n,a}$ and $W' = \overline{W}^A_{m,a'}$, where $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$, $a' = (a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_m) \in \mathbf{C}^m$, and $\overline{W}^A_{m,a'}$ denotes the ψ^{-1}-Whittaker function determined by $\overline{W}^A_{m,a'}(g) = \psi^{-1}(x(g)) W^A_{m,a'}(y(g))$. We then define

$$I_{n,m;a,a'}(s) = Z(s, W^A_{n,a}, \overline{W}^A_{m,a'})$$

and

$$I^\vee_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) = Z^\vee(s, (W^A_{n,a})^\vee, (\overline{W}^A_{n-2,a'})^\vee).$$

The goals cited at the outset of this section may now be described more explicitly, as follows:

1. To show that $I_{n,m;a,a'}(s)$ equals the corresponding local L-factor, which is a product of $(n-j)n$ Gamma functions, in the cases $m = n-j$ for $j = 0$ or 1;

2. To show that $I_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s)$ equals the corresponding local L-factor, which is a product of $(n-2)n$ Gamma functions, *times* a certain Barnes-type integral; that $I^\vee_{n-2,n;a,a'}(s)$ similarly equals an L-factor times a Barnes-type integral; and that the former Barnes-type integral becomes the latter under the replacement of s by $1-s$.

The first of these goals will be accomplished in subsection 2.2 below – see Theorem 2.1 – and the second in subsection 2.3 – see Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. In the present subsection, we develop some Barnes-type integral expressions for $I_{n,m;a,a'}(s)$ and $I^\vee_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s)$, to be of use in what follows.

Proposition 2.1. (1) *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,n;a,a'}(s) &= \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(ns + |a| + |a'|) \\ &\quad \times \frac{2^{n-1}}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{s_1,\ldots,s_{n-1}} U_{n,a}(s - s_1, 2s - s_2, \ldots, (n-1)s - s_{n-1}) \\ &\quad \times U_{n,a'}(s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}) \, ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *For $m < n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,m;a,a'}(s) = \frac{2^m}{(2\pi i)^{n-2}} \int_{\substack{s_1,\ldots,s_{m-1} \\ s_{m+1},\ldots,s_{n-1}}} & U_{n,a}(s - s_1, 2s - s_2, \ldots, (m-1)s - s_{m-1}, \\ & \qquad ms + |a'|, s_{m+1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}) \\ & \times U_{m,a'}(s_1, \ldots, s_{m-1}) \, ds_1 \cdots ds_{m-1} ds_{m+1} \cdots ds_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) *We have*

$$I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) = \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_w \frac{I_{n,n-1,-a,a^\vee}\left(\dfrac{3}{2}+\dfrac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1}\right)}{\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a_1^\vee - a_j^\vee + 1)}\,dw,$$

where $a^\vee = (a_1^\vee, \ldots, a_{n-1}^\vee)$ *with*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^\vee &= (n-2)\Big(-s+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1}\Big);\\ a_j^\vee &= -a'_{j-1}+s-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1} \quad (2\le j\le n-1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. We first consider part (1). Since our Whittaker functions $W^A_{n,a}$ and $\overline{W}^A_{n,a'}$ are right $O(n)$ invariant, the Iwasawa decomposition implies that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,n;a,a'}(s) &= Z(s, W^A_{n,a}, \overline{W}^A_{n,a'})\\ &= 2^n \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^n} \exp(-\pi y_n^2)\\ &\times W^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_n]) W^A_{n,a'}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_n]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^n y_j^{js-j(n-j)}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right]\\ &= 2^n \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^n} y_n^{|a|+|a'|}\exp(-\pi y_n^2)\\ &\times \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1},1]) \widehat{W}^A_{n,a'}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1},1]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^n y_j^{js}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The integral in y_n equals $2^{-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(ns+|a|+|a'|)$. Applying Mellin inversion and the "convolution theorem" – which says that the Mellin transform of a product equals the convolution of the corresponding Mellin transforms – to the integral in $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}$, above, then yields the stated formula for $I_{n,n,a,a'}(s)$.

We now prove part (2). In this case, the Iwasawa decomposition gives us

$$\begin{aligned} &I_{n,m;a,a'}(s) = Z(s, W^A_{n,a}, \overline{W}^A_{m,a'})\\ &= 2^m \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^m} W^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_m,1,\ldots,1]) W^A_{m,a'}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_m]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{j(s-(n-m)/2)-j(m-j)}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right]\\ &= 2^m \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^m} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_m,1,\ldots,1]) \widehat{W}^A_{m,a'}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_m]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{js}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Now, for $y_j \in \mathbf{R}_+$ $(m+1\le j\le n-1)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} &Z(s, W^A_{n,a}, W^A_{m,a'}; y_{m+1},\ldots,y_{n-1})\\ &\quad = 2^m \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^m} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_m,y_{m+1},\ldots,y_{n-1},1]) \widehat{W}^A_{m,a'}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_m]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{js}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right], \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$I_{n,m;a,a'}(s) = Z(s, W^A_{n,a}, W^A_{m,a'}; 1, \dots, 1).$$

Applying Mellin inversion to the right hand side yields

$$I_{n,m;a,a'}(s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-m-1}} \int_{s_{m+1},\dots,s_{n-1}} Z(s_{m+1}, \dots, s_{n-1})\, ds_{m+1} \cdots ds_{n-1}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z(s_{m+1}, \dots, s_{n-1}) \\
&= \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-m-1}} Z(s, W^A_{n,a}, W^A_{m,a'}; y_{m+1}, \dots, y_{n-1}) \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{n-1} y_j^{s_j} \frac{dy_j}{y_j} \right] \\
&= 2^m \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, 1]) \widehat{W}^A_{m,a'}(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_m]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{m} y_j^{js} \frac{dy_j}{y_j} \right] \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{n-1} y_j^{s_j} \frac{dy_j}{y_j} \right] \\
&= 2^m \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, 1]) \widehat{W}^A_{m,a'}(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, 1]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{m} y_j^{js} \frac{dy_j}{y_j} \right] y_m^{|a'|} \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{n-1} y_j^{s_j} \frac{dy_j}{y_j} \right] \\
&= \frac{2^m}{(2\pi i)^{m-1}} \int_{s_1,\dots,s_{m-1}} U_{n,a}(s - s_1, 2s - s_2, \dots, (m-1)s - s_{m-1}, ms + |a'|, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_{n-1}) \\
&\times U_{m,a'}(s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})\, ds_1 \cdots ds_{m-1},
\end{aligned}$$

since, again, the Mellin transform of a product is the convolution of the Mellin transforms. So we conclude from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,m;a,a'}(s) = \frac{2^m}{(2\pi i)^{n-2}} \int_{\substack{s_1,\dots,s_{m-1} \\ s_{m+1},\dots,s_{n-1}}} & U_{n,a}(s - s_1, 2s - s_2, \dots, (m-1)s - s_{m-1}, \\
& \qquad ms + |a'|, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_{n-1}) \\
& \times U_{m,a'}(s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})\, ds_1 \cdots ds_{m-1} ds_{m+1} \cdots ds_{n-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

as required.

Finally, we prove part (3). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
I^\vee_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) &= Z^\vee(s, (W^A_{n,a})^\vee, (\overline{W}^A_{n-2,a'})^\vee) \\
&= 2^{n-2} \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-2}} (W^A_{n,a})^\vee(M(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}; y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, 1)) \\
&\quad \times (\overline{W}^A_{n-2,a'})^\vee(\alpha[y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} dx_j\, y_j^{j(s-n+j+1)} \frac{dy_j}{y_j} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}; y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{y} & & \\ x & y_{n-1} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})$ and $\overline{y} = y_{n-1} \cdot \alpha[y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}]$. Then Mellin inversion implies

$$I^\vee_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_w I^\vee_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s, w)\, dw,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s,w) = 2^{n-2}&\int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-2}} (W^A_{n,a})^{\vee}(M(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-2};y_1,\ldots,y_{n-2},y_{n-1}))\\ &\times(\overline{W}^A_{n-2,a'})^{\vee}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-2}])y_{n-1}^{w}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}dx_j\,y_j^{j(s-n+j+1)}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right]\frac{dy_{n-1}}{y_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

To complete the proof of part (3) of the present proposition, then, it will suffice to demonstrate:

Claim.

$$I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s,w)=\frac{I_{n,n-1,-a,a^{\vee}}\left(\dfrac{3}{2}+\dfrac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1}\right)}{2\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a_1^{\vee}-a_j^{\vee}+1)},$$

with $a^{\vee}=(a_1^{\vee},\ldots,a_{n-1}^{\vee})$ *as in* (2.1).

Proof of Claim. Noting that

$$M(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-2};y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1})=\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1},1]\cdot M\Big(\frac{x_1}{y_{n-1}},\ldots,\frac{x_{n-2}}{y_{n-1}};1,\ldots,1\Big),$$

we substitute $x_j\to x_jy_{n-1}$ $(1\le j\le n-2)$ into (2.5), to get

$$\begin{aligned} &I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s,w)\\ &=2^{n-2}\int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-2}}(W^A_{n,a})^{\vee}\big(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1},1]\cdot M(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-2};1,\ldots,1)\big)\\ &\times(\overline{W}^A_{n-2,a'})^{\vee}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-2}])y_{n-1}^{w+n-2}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}dx_j\,y_j^{j(s-n+j+1)}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right]\frac{dy_{n-1}}{y_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

Next, we check that $g=M(x_1,\ldots,x_n;1,\ldots,1)$ has Iwasawa decomposition $g=x(g)y(g)k(g)$, where

$$x(g)=(x(g)_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le n}\quad\text{with}\quad x(g)_{j,k}=\begin{cases}1 & 1\le j=k\le n,\\ -x_jx_k/p_k & 1\le j<k\le n-2,\\ x_j/p_{n-1} & 1\le j\le n-2,k=n-1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise;}\end{cases}$$

$$y(g)=p\left[\frac{\sqrt{p_1p_3}}{p_2},\frac{\sqrt{p_2p_4}}{p_3},\ldots,\frac{\sqrt{p_{n-2}p_n}}{p_{n-1}},\sqrt{p_{n-1}},1\right];$$

and

$$k(g)=(k(g)_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le n}\quad\text{with}\quad k(g)_{j,k}=\begin{cases}\sqrt{p_j}/\sqrt{p_{j+1}} & 1\le j=k\le n-2;\\ 1/\sqrt{p_{n-1}} & j=k=n-1;\\ 1 & j=k=n;\\ -x_jx_k/\sqrt{p_jp_{j+1}} & 1\le k<j\le n-2;\\ -x_j/\sqrt{p_jp_{j+1}} & 1\le j\le n-2,k=n-1;\\ x_k/\sqrt{p_{n-1}} & j=n-1,1\le k\le n-2;\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Here $p_j = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} x_p^2$ for $1 \le j \le n-1$, and $p_n = 1$.

So (2.6), together with Corollary 1.6 and the substitutions $x_j \to (-1)^{n-j-2} x_j$ for $1 \le j \le n-2$, give

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s,w) = 2^{n-2} \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-2}} & \exp\biggl\{ -2\pi i \biggl(\sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \frac{x_j x_{j+1}}{p_{j+1}} y_j + \frac{x_{n-2}}{p_{n-1}} y_{n-2} \biggr) \biggr\} \\ & \times W^A_{n,-a}\biggl(\alpha \biggl[\frac{\sqrt{p_1 p_3}}{p_2} y_1, \ldots, \frac{\sqrt{p_{n-2} p_n}}{p_{n-1}} y_{n-2}, \sqrt{p_{n-1}} y_{n-1}, 1 \biggr] \biggr) \\ & \times W^A_{n-2,-a'}(\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_{n-2}]) \\ & \times \biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} y_j^{j(s-n+j+1)} \biggr] y_{n-1}^{w+n-2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} dx_j \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}. \end{aligned}$$

We now substitute

$$y_j \to \frac{p_{j+1}}{\sqrt{p_j p_{j+2}}} y_j \ (1 \le j \le n-2), \ \ y_{n-1} \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{n-1}}} y_{n-1},$$

and collect the powers of the y_j's and q_j's, to find that

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s,w) = 2^{n-2} \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-2}} & \exp\biggl\{ -2\pi i \biggl(\sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \frac{x_j x_{j+1}}{\sqrt{p_j p_{j+2}}} y_j + \frac{x_{n-2}}{\sqrt{p_{n-2}}} y_{n-2} \biggr) \biggr\} \\ & \times \widehat{W}^A_{n,-a}(\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}, 1]) \\ & \times \widehat{W}^A_{n-2,-a'}\biggl(a \biggl[\frac{p_2}{\sqrt{p_1 p_3}} y_1, \ldots, \frac{p_{n-2}}{\sqrt{p_{n-3} p_{n-1}}} y_{n-3}, \frac{p_{n-1}}{\sqrt{p_{n-2}}} y_{n-2} \biggr] \biggr) \\ & \times \biggl[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} y_j^{js} \biggr] y_{n-1}^{w+(3n-5)/2} p_{n-1}^{(n-1)(2s-3)/4-w/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{dx_j}{\sqrt{p_j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variables $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}) \to (x'_1, \ldots, x'_{n-2})$ given by

$$x'_j = x_j \sqrt{\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_j p_{j+1}}},$$

we find that

$$x_j = x'_j \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_j r_{j+1}}}, \quad p_j = \frac{r_1}{r_j}, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{dx_j}{\sqrt{p_j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{dx'_j}{\sqrt{r_{n-j}}},$$

where $r_j = 1 + \sum_{p=j}^{n-2} (x'_p)^2$ for $1 \le j \le n-2$ and $r_{n-1} = 1$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s,w) = 2^{n-2} \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-2}} & \exp\biggl\{ -2\pi i \biggl(\sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \frac{x'_j x'_{j+1}}{r_{j+1}} y_j + x'_{n-2} y_{n-2} \biggr) \biggr\} \\ & \times \widehat{W}^A_{n,-a}(\alpha[y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}, 1]) \\ & \times \widehat{W}^A_{n-2,-a'}\biggl(\alpha \biggl[\frac{\sqrt{r_1 r_3}}{r_2} y_1, \ldots, \frac{\sqrt{r_{n-3} r_{n-1}}}{r_{n-2}} y_{n-3}, \sqrt{r_{n-2}} y_{n-2} \biggr] \biggr) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} y_j^{js}\right] y_{n-1}^{w+(3n-5)/2} r_1^{(n-1)(2s-3)/4-(w+|a'|)/2} \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} r_j^{-1/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} dx'_j \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}.$$

Here, we used the fact that $\widehat{W}^A_{n-2,-a'}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,\sqrt{r_1}y_{n-2}]) = r_1^{-|a'|/2}\widehat{W}^A_{n-2,-a'}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-2}])$. Using Proposition 1.4, we can evaluate the integral in the variables dx'_j as a class one Whittaker function on $GL_{n-1}(\mathbf{R})$; the result is:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s,w) = {} & \frac{2^{n-2}}{\prod_{2\le j\le n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a_1^{\vee}-a_j^{\vee}+1)} \\ & \times \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \widehat{W}^A_{n,-a}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1},1])\widehat{W}^A_{n-1,a^{\vee}}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}]) \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} y_j^{j(s+a_1^{\vee}/(n-2))}\right] y_{n-1}^{w+(3n-5)/2-|a^{\vee}|} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{dy_j}{y_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $|a^{\vee}| = -|a'|$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s,w) = {} & \frac{2^{n-2}}{\prod_{2\le j\le n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a_1^{\vee}-a_j^{\vee}+1)} \\ & \times \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} \widehat{W}^A_{n,-a}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1},1])\widehat{W}^A_{n-1,a^{\vee}}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}]) \\ & \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{j(3/2+(w+|a'|-1)/(n-1))} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{dy_j}{y_j} \\ = {} & \frac{I_{n,n-1,-a,a^{\vee}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1}\right)}{2\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a_1^{\vee}-a_j^{\vee}+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

the last step by (2.2), and our claim is proved. This, in turn, completes the proof of our proposition.

□

2.2. **The cases $m = n$ and $m = n-1$.** In [29, Theorem 3.4] and [30, Theorem 1.1], the second author of the present work has proved the following theorem, under the assumption that the characters χ^A_a and $\chi^A_{a'}$ (cf. section 1.1 above) are trivial on scalar matrices. Here we present a new proof that requires no such stipulation, and that is simplified by the use of new formulas, recently derived by the authors in [12], relating Whittaker functions on $GL(n,\mathbf{R})$ to those on $GL(n-1,\mathbf{R})$. (Earlier proofs of these results used different recursive formulas, relating $GL(n,\mathbf{R})$ Whittaker functions to those on $GL(n-2,\mathbf{R})$, cf. [26]).)

Theorem 2.2. (1) *For $a = (a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ and $a' = (a'_1,\ldots,a'_{n-1})$, we have*

$$I_{n,n-1;a,a'}(s) = \prod_{1\le j\le n, 1\le k\le n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_j+a'_k).$$

(2) *For* $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ *and* $a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$*, we have*

$$I_{n,n;a,a'}(s) = \prod_{1 \le j \le n, 1 \le k \le n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_j + a'_k).$$

Proof. Our proof here is based on a relation between $I_{n,n;a,a'}(s)$ and $I_{n,n-1;a,a'}(s)$, and another between $I_{n,n-1;a,a'}(s)$ and $I_{n,n-1-1;a,a'}(s)$, as follows.

Proposition 2.3. (1) *For* $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ *and* $a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$*, we have*

$$I_{n,n;a,a'}(s) = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a'_1 + a_j)\right] I_{n,n-1;a,\widetilde{a}'}\Big(s - \frac{a'_1}{n-1}\Big),$$

where $\widetilde{a}' = (\widetilde{a}'_1, \dots, \widetilde{a}'_{n-1})$ *with* $\widetilde{a}'_j = a'_{j+1} + a'_1/(n-1)$.

(2) *For* $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ *and* $a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1})$*, we have*

$$I_{n,n-1;a,a'}(s) = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 + a'_j)\right] I_{n,n-1-1;\widetilde{a},a'}\Big(s - \frac{a_1}{n-1}\Big),$$

where $\widetilde{a} = (\widetilde{a}_1, \dots, \widetilde{a}_{n-1})$ *with* $\widetilde{a}_j = a_{j+1} + a_1/(n-1)$.

Proof of Proposition. Let us show (2); the proof of (1) is similar.

By Propositions 2.1 (2) (in the case $m = n-1$) and 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,n-1;a,a'}(s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{2n-4}} \int_{\substack{s_1,\dots,s_{n-2} \\ z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}}} & U_{n-1,a'}(s_1, \dots, s_{n-2})\, U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}) \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(js - s_j - z_{j-1} + \frac{(n-j)a_1}{n-1}\Big)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(js - s_j - z_j - \frac{ja_1}{n-1}\Big)\right] \\ & \times dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2}\, ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we understand that $s_{n-1} = -|a'|$ and $z_{n-1} = -|a|$. We substitute $z_j \to z_j - ja_1/(n-1)$ for $1 \le j \le n-2$, to find

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,n-1;a,a'}(s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{2n-4}} \int_{\substack{s_1,\dots,s_{n-2} \\ z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}}} & U_{n-1,a'}(s_1, \dots, s_{n-2}) \\ & \times U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}\Big(z_1 - \frac{a_1}{n-1}, \dots, z_{n-2} - \frac{(n-2)a_1}{n-1}\Big) \\ & \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(js - s_j - z_{j-1} + a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(js - s_j - z_j)\right] \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}((n-1)s - z_{n-2} + a_1 + |a'|)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}((n-1)s - a_1 + |a| + |a'|) \\ & \times dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2}\, ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Proposition 1.9 (1), with $p_j = js - z_j$ for $1 \le j \le n-2$ and $\sigma = s + a_1$, to integrate with respect to the s_j's and get:

$$I_{n,n-1;a,a'}(s) = \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}((n-1)s - a_1 + |a| + |a'|) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 + a'_j)$$

$$\times \frac{2^{n-2}}{(2\pi i)^{n-2}} \int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}} U_{n-1,a'}(s-z_1,\dots,(n-2)s-z_{n-2})$$
$$\times U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}\Big(z_1-\frac{a_1}{n-1},\dots,z_{n-2}-\frac{(n-2)a_1}{n-1}\Big)\,dz_1\cdots dz_{n-2}.$$

If we substitute $z_j \to z_j + ja_1/(n-1)$ for $1 \le j \le n-2$, we find that

$$I_{n,n-1;a,\widetilde{a}}(s) = \Bigg[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_1+a'_j)\Bigg]\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big((n-1)\Big(s-\frac{a_1}{n-1}\Big)+|a|+|a'|\Big)$$
$$\times \frac{2^{n-2}}{(2\pi i)^{n-2}} \int_{z_1,\dots,z_{n-2}} U_{n-1,a}\Big(\Big(s-\frac{a_1}{n-1}\Big)-z_1,\dots,(n-2)\Big(s-\frac{a_1}{n-1}\Big)-z_{n-2}\Big)$$
$$\times U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(z_1,\dots,z_{n-2})\,dz_1\cdots dz_{n-2},$$

and our proposition is proved.

To deduce Theorem 2.2, we use induction. First, we consider part (1) of the theorem: in the case $n=2$, this amounts to Barnes' first lemma.

Now by Proposition 2.3 (2), we have

$$I_{n,n;a,a'}(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a'_1+a_j)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s-\frac{a'_1}{n-1}+a_1+\widetilde{a}'_j\Big)$$
$$\times I_{n-1,n-1;\widetilde{a},\widetilde{a}'}\Big(s-\frac{a_1+a'_1}{n-1}\Big).$$

By the induction hypothesis applied to $I_{n,n;a,a'}(s)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,n;a,a'}(s) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a'_1+a_j)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_1+a'_{j+1}) \\ &\quad\times \prod_{1\le j\le n-1,1\le k\le n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s-\frac{a_1+a'_1}{n-1}+\widetilde{a}_j+\widetilde{a}'_k\Big) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a'_1+a_j)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_1+a'_{j+1}) \\ &\quad\times \prod_{1\le j\le n-1,1\le k\le n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_{j+1}+a'_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result.

We now turn to part (2) of our theorem. The case $n=2$ follows from Proposition 2.1 (2) (in the case $n=2, m=1$). The general case then follows by Proposition 2.3 (2) and by induction (much as in the above proof for the case $m=n$), and thus we complete our proof of Theorem 2.2. □

2.3. **The case $m = n-2$.** We investigate the zeta integrals $Z(s,W,W')$ and $Z^{\vee}(s,W,W')$, as defined in section 2.1 above, in the case $m=n-2$. Note that the case $(n,m)=(3,1)$ has previously been treated in [8].

Theorem 2.4. *For* $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ *and* $a' = (a'_1, \ldots, a'_{n-2})$*, we have*

$$I_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) = \Bigg[\prod_{1\le j\le n, 1\le k\le n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_j + a'_k)\Bigg] \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_w \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w - a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + w + a'_j)}\, dw.$$

Proof. We evaluate the integral (2.4) with $m = n - 2$. Using Proposition 1.5 to rewrite $U_{n,a}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) &= \frac{2^{-1}}{(2\pi i)^{2n-4}} \int_{\substack{s_1,\cdots,s_{n-3},s_{n-1}\\ z_1,\ldots,z_{n-2}}} U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-2}) U_{n-2,a'}(s_1, \ldots, s_{n-3}) \\
&\times \Bigg[\prod_{j=1}^{n-3} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(js - s_j - z_j - \frac{ja_1}{n-1}\Big)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(js - s_j - z_{j-1} + \frac{(n-j)a_1}{n-1}\Big)\Bigg] \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big((n-2)s + |a'| - z_{n-2} - \frac{(n-2)a_1}{n-1}\Big) \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big((n-2)s + |a'| - z_{n-3} + \frac{2a_1}{n-1}\Big) \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_{n-1} + |a| - a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_{n-1} - z_{n-2} + \frac{a_1}{n-1}\Big) \\
&\times dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2}\, ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-3} ds_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We apply Proposition 1.9 (1) with $\sigma = s + a_1$ to integrate with respect to $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-3}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 + a'_j) \cdot \frac{2^{n-4}}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{s_{n-1},z_1,\cdots,z_{n-2}} U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-2}) \\
&\times U_{n-2,a'}(s' - z_1, \ldots, (n-3)s' - z_{n-3}) \cdot \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}((n-2)s' + |a'| - z_{n-2}) \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_{n-1} + |a| - a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_{n-1} - z_{n-2} + \frac{a_1}{n-1}\Big)\, dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2}\, ds_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have defined $s' = s - a_1/(n-1)$.

Next we use Proposition 1.9 (1), with $\sigma = s + s_{n-1} + |a|$, to rewrite $U_{n-2,a'}$; we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 + a'_j) \cdot \frac{2^{-1}}{(2\pi i)^{2n-4}} \int_{\substack{s_{n-1},z_1,\ldots,z_{n-2}\\ w_1,\ldots,w_{n-3}}} U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-2}) \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}((n-3)s' - z_{n-3} + s + s_{n-1} + |a| + |a'|)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + s_{n-1} + |a| + a'_j)} \\
&\times U_{n-2,a'}(w_1, \ldots, w_{n-3}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-3} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(js' - z_j - w_j) \\
&\times \prod_{j=1}^{n-3} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}((j-1)s' - z_{j-1} - w_j + s + s_{n-1} + |a|) \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}((n-2)s' + |a'| - z_{n-2})\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_{n-1} + |a| - a_1) \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(s_{n-1} - z_{n-2} + \frac{a_1}{n-1}\Big)\, dw_1 \cdots dw_{n-3}\, dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2}\, ds_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we define $w_{n-2} = -|a'|$ and $w_{n-1} = (n-1)s' + |a|$, the above can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,n-2:a,a'}(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 + a'_j) \cdot \frac{2^{-1}}{(2\pi i)^{2n-4}} \int_{\substack{s_{n-1},z_1,\ldots,z_{n-2}\\ w_1,\ldots,w_{n-3}}} & U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-2}) \\
\times U_{n-2,a'}(w_1, \ldots, w_{n-3}) \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_{n-1} + |a| - a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + s_{n-1} + |a| - w_1)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + s_{n-1} + |a| + a'_j)} & \\
\times \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(js' - z_j - w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big((j+1)s' - z_j - w_{j+1} + s_{n-1} + \frac{a_1}{n-1} + |a|\Big) & \\
\times dw_1 \cdots dw_{n-3}\, dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-2}\, ds_{n-1}. &
\end{aligned}$$

We can apply Proposition 1.9 (2) with $p_j = js' - w_j$ $(1 \le j \le n-2)$ and $\sigma = s_{n-1} + a_1/(n-1) + |a|$, to integrate with respect to $z_1, \ldots, z_{n-2}$ (note that the condition $p_{n-1} = -|\widetilde{a}| = -|a|$ is satisfied because of the definition of w_{n-1}), and arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 + a'_j) \cdot \frac{2^{n-3}}{(2\pi i)^{n-2}} \int_{s_{n-1},w_1,\ldots,w_{n-3}} U_{n-2,a'}(w_1, \ldots, w_{n-3}) \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_{n-1} + |a| - a_1) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_{n-1} + \frac{a_1}{n-1} + |a| - \widetilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + s_{n-1} + |a| + a'_j)} \\
&\quad \times U_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(s' - w_1, \ldots, (n-3)s' - w_{n-3}, (n-2)s' + |a'|) \\
&\quad \times dw_1 \cdots dw_{n-3}\, ds_{n-1} \\
&= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 + a'_j)\right] \cdot I_{n-1,n-2;\widetilde{a},a'}(s') \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{s_{n-1}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s_{n-1} + |a| - a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + s_{n-1} + |a| + a'_j)}\, ds_{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

the last step by (2.4). Hence Theorem 2.2 (1) yields our assertion. □

Now as may be seen, for example, in [18], the $GL_n \times GL_{n-2}$ functional equation at a real place ν relates $Z(s, W, W')$ to $Z^\vee(s, R(w_{n,n-2})W^\vee, (W')^\vee)$. Here $w_{n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & \\ & w_{n-m} \end{pmatrix}$ and R means right translation. In the situation of interest to us here, where $W = W^A_{n,a}$ and $W' = \overline{W}^A_{n-2,a'}$ as above, we may ignore the $R(w_{n,n-2})$ in $Z^\vee(s, R(w_{n,n-2})W^\vee, (W')^\vee)$, since $W^A_{n,a}$ is right $O(n)$-invariant. The relevant functional equation then relates $Z(s, W^A_{n,a}, \overline{W}^A_{n-2,a'}) = I_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s)$ to $Z^\vee(s, (W^A_{n,a})^\vee, (\overline{W}^A_{n-2,a'})^\vee) = I^\vee_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s)$.

More specifically: the functional equation demonstrated in [18] amounts, in the present context, to the assertion that

$$\frac{I_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s)}{\prod_{1 \le j \le n, 1 \le k \le n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_j + a'_k)} = \frac{I^\vee_{n,n-2;a,a'}(1-s)}{\prod_{1 \le j \le n, 1 \le k \le n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(1 - s - a_j - a'_k)}.$$

We now wish to show that the above functional equation may also be derived using results contained herein. To do so it will suffice, in light of Theorem 2.4, to prove the following:

Theorem 2.5. *We have*

$$I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) = \left[\prod_{1\le j\le n, 1\le k\le n-2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s-a_j-a'_k)\right] \\ \times \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_w \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w-a_j)}{\prod_{1\le j\le n-2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(1-s+w+a'_j)}\,dw.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 (3),

$$I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) = \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_w \frac{I_{n,n-1,-a,a^\vee}\left(\dfrac{3}{2}+\dfrac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1}\right)}{\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a^\vee_1-a^\vee_j+1)}\,dw,$$

where $a^\vee = (a^\vee_1,\ldots,a^\vee_{n-1})$ is as in (2.1). We may now apply Theorem 2.2 (1) to the archimedean zeta factor inside the integral; we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vee}_{n,n-2;a,a'}(s) &= \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_w \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le n, 1\le k\le n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\dfrac{3}{2}+\dfrac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1}-a_j+a^\vee_k\right)}{\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a^\vee_1-a^\vee_j+1)}\,dw \\ &= \left[\prod_{1\le j\le n, 2\le k\le n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1}-a_j+a^\vee_k\right)\right] \\ &\quad\times \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_w \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\dfrac{3}{2}+\dfrac{w+|a'|-1}{n-1}-a_j+a^\vee_1\right)}{\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(a^\vee_1-a^\vee_j+1)}\,dw \\ &= \left[\prod_{1\le j\le n, 2\le k\le n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s-a_j-a'_{k-1})\right] \\ &\quad\times \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_w \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le n}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(w-a_j-(n-2)s+|a'|+\dfrac{3n-5}{2}\right)}{\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(1+w+a'_{j-1}-(n-1)s+|a'|+\dfrac{3n-5}{2}\right)}\,dw. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute $w \to w+(n-2)s-|a'|-\dfrac{3n-5}{2}$; we also rearrange the products a bit (letting $k\to k+1$ in the product outside the integral; and letting $j\to j+1$ in the product in the denominator of the integrand). The result is exactly the statement of Theorem 2.5, and we are done. ☐

3. ARCHIMEDEAN ZETA INTEGRALS ON $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_m$

Global Rankin-Selberg integrals for L-functions on $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_m$ have been constructed in [6] for the cases $m=n$ and $m=n+1$, in [7] for the cases $m\le n$, and in [24] for the cases $m\ge n+1$. In this section, we will consider the cases $m=n-1$, $m=n$, and $m=n+1$.

As may be seen from the works cited above, the archimedean zeta integrals $J_{\ell,n;a,b}(s)$ of interest to us, for the cases of $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_{n+1}$, $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_n$, and $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_{n-1}$

respectively, may be given by:

$$J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = 2^n \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^n} W^A_{n+1,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_n,1]) W^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1,\dots,y_n]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{j(s-(3n+1)/2+j)} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right],$$

$$J_{0,n;a,b}(s) = 2^n \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^n} W^A_{n,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_n]) W^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1,\dots,y_n]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{j(s-3n/2+j)} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_{-1,n;a,b}(s) = 2^n \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}} & W^A_{n-1,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}]) \\ & \times W^B_{n,b}(u[x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}]\beta[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},1]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{j(s-(3n-3)/2+j)} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right] \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} dx_j, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u[x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}] = \begin{pmatrix} u & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & {}^t u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this section, we show the coincidence of the archimedean zeta integrals $J_{1,n;a,b}(s)$ and $J_{0,n;a,b}(s)$ with their associated L-factors. We further demonstrate that the archimedean zeta integral $J_{-1,n;a,b}(s)$ equals the associated L-factor time a certain integral of Barnes type.

To obtain the desired resultsfor $J_{1,n;a,b}(s)$ and $J_{0,n;a,b}(s)$, we will need the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. (1) *For $a = (a_1,\dots,a_n)$ and $b = (b_1,\dots,b_n)$, we have*

$$J_{0,n;a,b}(s) = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_j+b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_j-b_n)\right] J_{1,n-1;a,\widetilde{b}}(s),$$

where $\widetilde{b} = (b_1,\dots,b_{n-1})$.

(2) *For $a = (a_1,\dots,a_{n+1})$ and $b = (b_1,\dots,b_n)$, we have*

$$J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_1+b_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_1-b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(2s+a_1+a_{j+1})} \cdot J_{0,n;\widetilde{a},b}\Big(s - \frac{a_1}{n}\Big),$$

where $\widetilde{a} = (\widetilde{a}_1,\dots,\widetilde{a}_n)$ with $\widetilde{a}_j = a_{j+1} + ja_1/n$.

Proof. We first prove part (1). By Mellin inversion, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{0,n;a,b}(s) = \frac{2^n}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{s_1,\dots,s_{n-1}} & U_{n,a}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1}) V_{n,b}(s-s_1,\dots,(n-1)s-s_{n-1}, ns+|a|) \\ & \times ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 1.7, we have

$$J_{0,n;a,b}(s) = \frac{2^{2n-2}}{(4\pi i)^{3n-3}} \int_{s_1,\dots,s_{n-1}} \int_{\substack{w_1,\dots,w_{n-1} \\ z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}}} U_{n,a}(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1}) V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(js - s_j - w_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(js - s_j - w_{j-1} - b_n)\right] \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j - z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j - z_{j-1} + b_n)\right] \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(ns - w_{n-1} + |a| - b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(ns - z_{n-1} + |a| + b_n) \\
&\times dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-1}\, dw_1 \cdots dw_{n-1}\, ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We apply Proposition 1.9 (1) with $p_j = js - w_j$, for $1 \le j \le n-1$, and $\sigma = s - b_n$, to perform the integration with respect to $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$, whence:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{0,n;a,b}(s) = {}& \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_j - b_n)\right] \\
&\times \frac{2^{2n-2}}{(4\pi i)^{2n-2}} \int_{\substack{w_1,\ldots,w_{n-1} \\ z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1}}} U_{n,a}(s - w_1, \ldots, (n-1)s - w_{n-1}) V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j - z_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w_j - z_{j-1} + b_n)\right] \\
&\times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(ns - z_{n-1} + |a| + b_n)\, dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-1}\, dw_1 \cdots dw_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

To integrate with respect to $w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}$, we substitute $w_j \to js - w_j$ $(1 \le j \le n-1)$, and apply Proposition 1.9 (1) again – this time, with $p_j = js - z_j$ $(1 \le j \le n-1)$ and $\sigma = s + b_n$. We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{0,n;a,b}(s) &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_j + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_j - b_n)\right] \\
&\quad \times \frac{2^{2n-2}}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1}} U_{n,a}(s - z_1, \ldots, (n-1)s - z_{n-1}) \\
&\quad \times V_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})\, dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-1} \\
&= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_j + b_n)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_j - b_n)\right] J_{1,n-1;a,\widetilde{b}}(s),
\end{aligned}$$

the last step by the definition of $J_{1,n-1;a,\widetilde{b}}(s)$ and by Mellin inversion. Thus we have finished the proof of (1).

We now prove part (2). By Proposition 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = {}& \frac{2^{2n-1}}{(4\pi i)^{2n-1}} \int_{\substack{s_1,\ldots,s_n \\ z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1}}} U_{n,\widetilde{a}}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) V_{n,b}(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \\
&\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(js - s_j - z_j - \frac{ja_1}{n}\Big)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(js - s_j - z_{j-1} + \frac{(n+1-j)a_1}{n}\Big)\right] \\
&\times dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-1}\, ds_1 \cdots ds_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $z_n = -|a| = -(a_1 + \cdots + a_{n+1})$. We apply Proposition 1.9 (1), with $p_j = z_j$ $(1 \leq j \leq n-1)$ and $\sigma = 2s + (n-1)a_1/n$, to get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = {} & \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(2s + a_1 + a_{j+1})} \\
& \times \frac{2^{2n-1}}{(4\pi i)^{3n-2}} \int_{\substack{s_1,\dots,s_n \\ z_1,\dots,z_{n-1},q_1,\dots,q_{n-1}}} U_{n,\widetilde{a}}(q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) V_{n,b}(s_1, \dots, s_n) \\
& \times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(2s + \frac{(n-1)a_1}{n} + z_{n-1} + |a|\Big) \\
& \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(z_j - q_j) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(z_{j-1} - q_j + 2s + \frac{(n-1)a_1}{n}\Big)\right] \\
& \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(js - s_j - z_j - \frac{ja_1}{n}\Big) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(js - s_j - z_{j-1} + \frac{(n+1-j)a_1}{n}\Big)\right] \\
& \times dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-1} \, dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-1} \, ds_1 \cdots ds_n.
\end{aligned}$$

We substitute $z_j \to -z_j + j(s - a_1/n)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, to find

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = {} & \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(2s + a_1 + a_{j+1})} \\
& \times \frac{2^{2n-1}}{(4\pi i)^{3n-2}} \int_{\substack{s_1,\dots,s_n \\ z_1,\dots,z_{n-1},q_1,\dots,q_{n-1}}} U_{n,\widetilde{a}}(q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) V_{n,b}(s_1, \dots, s_n) \\
& \times \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}((n+1)s - z_{n-1} + |a|) \\
& \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(j\Big(s - \frac{a_1}{n}\Big) - q_j - z_j\Big) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}\Big(j\Big(s - \frac{a_1}{n}\Big) - q_j - z_{j-1} + s + a_1\Big)\right] \\
& \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(z_j - s_j)\right] \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(ns - s_n - a_1 + |a|) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(z_{j-1} - s_j + s + a_1)\right] \\
& \times dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-1} \, dz_1 \cdots dz_{n-1} \, ds_1 \cdots ds_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we apply Proposition 1.10 with $p_j = j(s - a_1/n) - q_j$ $(1 \leq j \leq n-1)$, $p_n = ns - a_1 + |a|$, and $\sigma = s + a_1$, to integrate with respect to the s_j's and the z_j's, whence:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = {} & \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 + b_j) \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + a_1 - b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(2s + a_1 + a_{j+1})} \\
& \times \frac{2^{2n-1}}{(4\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{q_1,\dots,q_{n-1}} U_{n,\widetilde{a}}(q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) \\
& \times V_{n,b}\Big(\Big(s - \frac{a_1}{n}\Big) - q_1, \dots, \Big(s - \frac{a_1}{n}\Big) - q_{n-1}, n\Big(s - \frac{a_1}{n}\Big) + |a|\Big) \, dq_1 \cdots dq_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of part (2) of our lemma then follows from the definition of $J_{0,n;\widetilde{a},b}$ and from Mellin inversion, and we are done. $\square$

We now have

Theorem 3.2. *For $a=(a_1,\dots,a_m)$ and $b=(b_1,\dots,b_n)$, we set*

$$\begin{aligned} L(s;a,b,r) &= \prod_{1\le j\le m, 1\le k\le n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_j+b_k)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_j-b_k), \\ L(s;a,\wedge^2) &= \prod_{1\le j<k\le m} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_j+a_k). \end{aligned}$$

Also, let $\ell=m-n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &J_{\ell,n;a,b}(s) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dfrac{L(s;a,b,r)}{L(2s;a,\wedge^2)} & \text{if } \ell=0 \text{ or } 1; \\ \dfrac{L(s;a,b,r)}{L(2s;a,\wedge^2)}\cdot\dfrac{1}{4\pi i}\displaystyle\int_w \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w+b_k)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w-b_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(1-s+w-a_j)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+w+a_j)}\,dw & \text{if } \ell=-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us first consider the cases $\ell=0$ and $\ell=1$, using an induction argument. By Barnes' first lemma, we compute readily that

$$J_{1,2;a,b}(s) = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_1+b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_1-b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_2+b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_2-b_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(2s+a_1+a_2)}.$$

Then Proposition 3.1 and the induction hypothesis yield the desired results.

Let us now prove our theorem in the case $\ell=-1$. Our argument here is quite analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.5.

For $w\in\mathbf{C}$, we compute the integral

$$\begin{aligned} J_{-1,n;a,b}(s,w) = 2^n \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} & W^A_{n-1,a}(\alpha[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}]) \\ &\times W^B_{n,b}(u[x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}]\beta[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},y_n]) \\ &\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{j(s-(3n-3)/2+j)}\right] y_n^w \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} dx_j \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}, \end{aligned}$$

as follows. We use the Iwasawa decomposition of $u[x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}]\beta[y_1,\dots,y_n]$ (see the begining of the proof of Theorem 2.5), together with the substitutions $x_j\to(\prod_{p=j}^{n-1} y_p^{-1})x_j$ for $1\le j\le n-1$. We follow this with the substitutions

$$y_j \to \frac{p_{j+1}}{\sqrt{p_j p_{j+2}}} y_j \ (1\le j\le n-1), \quad y_n\to\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_n}}y_n,$$

where $p_j = 1+\sum_{p=1}^{j-1} x_p^2$ $(1\le j\le n)$ and $p_{n+1}=1$. We thereby arrive at the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{-1,n;a,b}(s,w) = 2^n \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} & \exp\left\{-2\pi i\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\frac{x_j x_{j+1}}{\sqrt{p_j p_{j+2}}}y_j + \frac{x_{n-1}}{\sqrt{p_{n-1}}}y_{n-1}\right)\right\} \\ \times\, & \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1,\dots,y_n]) \\ \times\, & \widehat{W}^A_{n-1,a}\left(\alpha\left[\frac{p_2}{\sqrt{p_1p_3}}y_1,\dots,\frac{p_{n-1}}{\sqrt{p_{n-2}p_n}}y_{n-2},\frac{p_n}{\sqrt{p_{n-1}}}y_{n-1}\right]\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^{js}\right] y_n^{w+n^2/2} p_n^{n(2s-n)/4-(w+1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{dx_j}{\sqrt{p_j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}.$$

Next we change variables from $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ to $(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{n-1})$, with $x'_j = x_j\sqrt{p_n/(p_j p_{j+1})}$ for each j, and integrate with respect to variables x'_j by way of Proposition 1.4. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{-1,n;a,b}(s,w) &= \frac{2^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w-|a|-a_j-n(s-\frac{n}{2})+1)} \\ &\quad \times \int_{(\mathbf{R}_+)^n} \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[y_1,\ldots,y_n])\widehat{W}^A_{n,\alpha}(\alpha[y_1,\ldots,y_n]) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{j(n/2+(w-|a|)/n)} \frac{dy_j}{y_j}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(w-|a|-a_j-n(s-\frac{n}{2})+1)} \cdot J_{0,n;\breve{a},b}\Big(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{w-|a|}{n}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $\breve{a} = (\breve{a}_1, \ldots, \breve{a}_n)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \breve{a}_1 &= -(n-1)(s-\frac{n}{2}) + \frac{n-1}{n}(w-|a|), \\ \breve{a}_j &= a_{j-1} + s - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{n}(w-|a|) \quad (2 \le j \le n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the case $\ell = 0$ of the present theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &J_{-1,n;a,b}(s,w) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le n-1, 1\le k\le n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_j+b_k)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s+a_j-b_k)}{\prod_{1\le j<k\le n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(2s+a_j+a_k)} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(-(n-1)s+\frac{n^2}{2}+w-|a|+b_k)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(-(n-1)s+\frac{n^2}{2}+w-|a|-b_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(-ns+\frac{n^2}{2}+w-|a|-a_j+1)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(-(n-2)s+\frac{n^2}{2}+w-|a|+a_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Mellin inversion and a change of variable yield our assertion, and we complete the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Remark 2. The local functional equation for $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n-1}$, as described in [25], amounts, at real places, to the assertion that

$$J_{-1,n,a,b}(s) \Big/ \left[\frac{L(s;a,b,r)}{L(2s;a,\wedge^2)}\right]$$

is invariant under $(s,a,b) \to (1-s,-a,-b)$. This invariance is readily apparent from Theorem 3.2 above.

APPENDIX: COMPARISON WITH UNRAMIFIED COMPUTATIONS

The well-known Kato-Casselman-Shalika formulas describe unramified (nonarchimedean) Whittaker functions in terms of characters of finite dimensional representations of the corresponding L-groups. The unramified computations for $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_m$ can then be achieved by combining these realizations of unramified Whittaker functions with certain facts about the representation theory of $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ and $Sp_n(\mathbf{C})$, (See [6, Appendix].)

In this appendix, we present an alternative, somewhat roundabout, approach to the unramified calculations for $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_n$ and $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n+1}$. This new approach parallels, in a number of ways, our calculations above in the analogous archimedean cases. We hope that this suggestion of connections between the unramified and archimedean situations might help enable future computations, particularly in the archimedean context.

The general ideas informing this appendix are as follows. The p-adic analogs of our recursive formulas for Mellin transforms of Whittaker functions can be understood as branching laws for finite dimensional representation of $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ and $Sp_n(\mathbf{C})$. Moreover, Pieri's rule, which gives the decomposition into irreducibles of the tensor product of an irreducible representation with the symmetric kth power of the standard representation, can be considered analogous to our Propositions 1.9 and 1.10. By way of these observations, we may therefore perform the unramified computationsin a fashion reminescent of that employed above – namely, by reducing the $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_{n+1}$ (respectively, $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_n$) case to that of $SO_{2n+1} \times GL_n$ (respectively, $SO_{2n-1} \times GL_n$).

We begin by recalling explicit formulas for unramified Whittaker functions. Let F be a nonarchimedean local field, $\mathcal{O}$ its ring of integers, and $\mathfrak{p} = (\varpi)$ its maximal ideal. We set $q = |\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}|$. We take a non-trivial additive character ψ of F to be unramified. Let π^A_a (respectively, π^B_b) be the unramified principal series representation with Satake paramater $a = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ (respectively, $b = \mathrm{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_n, b_n^{-1}, \dots, b_1^{-1}) \in Sp_n(\mathbf{C})$). We sometimes write $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$. We then consider the $GL_n(\mathcal{O})$-(respectively, $SO_{2n+1}(\mathcal{O})$)-fixed Whittaker function $W^A_{n,a} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi^A_a, \psi)$ (respectively, $W^B_{n,b} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi^B_b, \psi)$), normalized such that $W^A_{n,a}(1_n) = W^B_{n,b}(1_{2n+1}) = 1$.

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, we put

$$\alpha[\lambda] = \alpha[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n] = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{\lambda_1}, \dots, \varpi^{\lambda_n}) \in GL_n(F),$$
$$\beta[\lambda] = \beta[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n] = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{\lambda_1}, \dots, \varpi^{\lambda_n}, 1, \varpi^{-\lambda_n}, \dots, \varpi^{-\lambda_1}) \in SO_{2n+1}(F).$$

We set

$$W^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\lambda]) = \delta_A^{1/2}(\alpha[\lambda]) \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\lambda]),$$
$$W^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda]) = \delta_B^{1/2}(\beta[\lambda]) \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda]).$$

where δ_A (respectively, δ_B) is the modulus character for the Borel subgroup of GL_n (respectively, SO_{2n+1}). According to the formulas of Shintani and Kato-Casselman-Shalika, we have

$$\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\lambda]) = \begin{cases} \chi^A_\lambda(a) & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
$$\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda]) = \begin{cases} \chi^C_\lambda(b) & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where χ^A_λ (respectively, χ^C_λ) is the character of the irreducible finite dimensional representation π^A_λ (respectively, π^C_λ) of $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ (respectively, $Sp_n(\mathbf{C})$) with highest weight λ. Here

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n\},$$
$$\mathcal{P}_n^+ = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid \lambda_n \geq 0\}.$$

We define subsets of $\mathcal{P}_n^+$ as follows. For a given $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$ and a nonnegative integer r, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_n^+(\lambda) = \{\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n^+ \mid \lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n-1} \geq \lambda_n \geq \mu_n\};$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{+}(\lambda) &= \{\mu=(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_{n-1})\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{+}\mid \lambda_1\ge\mu_1\ge\lambda_2\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_{n-1}\ge\mu_{n-1}\ge\lambda_n\};\\ \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{n}^{+}(\lambda) &= \{\mu\in\mathcal{P}_n^{+}\mid \lambda\in\mathcal{P}_n^{+}(\mu)\}\\ &= \{\mu=(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n)\in\mathcal{P}_n^{+}\mid \mu_1\ge\lambda_1\ge\mu_2\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_{n-1}\ge\mu_n\ge\lambda_n\};\\ \mathcal{P}_{n,r}^{+}(\lambda) &= \{\mu\in\mathcal{P}_n^{+}(\lambda)\mid |\lambda|-|\mu|=r\};\\ \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{n,r}^{+}(\lambda) &= \{\mu\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}_n^{+}(\lambda)\mid \lambda\in\mathcal{P}_{n,r}^{+}(\mu)\}=\{\mu\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}_n^{+}(\lambda)\mid |\mu|-|\lambda|=r\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we write $|\lambda|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i$ for $\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_n^{+}$.

We first state the nonarchimedean analogs of the recursive formulas in Propositions 1.5 and 1.7. Let $\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_n^{+}$. We have the following:

$$\widehat{W}^{A}_{n,a}(\alpha[\lambda])=\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{+}(\lambda)} a_n^{|\lambda|-|\mu|}\widehat{W}^{A}_{n-1,\widetilde{a}}(\alpha[\mu]) \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\widehat{W}^{B}_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda])=\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{P}_{n}^{+}(\lambda)}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{+}(\nu)} b_n^{2|\nu|-|\lambda|-|\mu|}\widehat{W}^{B}_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(\beta[\mu]), \tag{A.2}$$

where $\widetilde{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_{n-1})$ and $\widetilde{b}=(b_1,\dots,b_{n-1})$. These formulas can be interpreted as the branching laws

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{A}_{\lambda}|_{GL_{n-1}(\mathbf{C})} &= \bigoplus_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{+}(\lambda)}\pi^{A}_{\mu},\\ \pi^{C}_{\lambda}|_{Sp_{n-1}(\mathbf{C})} &= \bigoplus_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{+}(\lambda)} m(\lambda,\mu)\pi^{C}_{\mu},\end{aligned}$$

where the mulitiplicity $m(\lambda,\mu)$ is the cardinality of the set $\{\nu\in\mathcal{P}_n^{+}(\lambda)\mid \mu\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{+}(\nu)\}$.

To discuss a nonarchimedean analog of the formulas in Propositions 1.9 and 1.10, let us recall Pieri's rule. This is a special case of the Littlewood-Richardson rule ([4, §A.1]), which describes how the tensor product $\pi^{A}_{\lambda}\otimes\pi^{A}_{\kappa}$ decomposes:

$$\pi^{A}_{\lambda}\otimes\pi^{A}_{\kappa}\cong\oplus_{\mu}M^{\mu}_{\lambda\kappa}\pi^{A}_{\mu}.$$

If we write $(k):=(k,0,\dots,0)\in\mathcal{P}_n^{+}$, then Pieri's rule ([4, Proposition 15.25]) asserts

$$M^{\mu}_{\lambda(k)}=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \mu\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{n,k}^{+}(\lambda),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{A.3}$$

We denote by $N^{\mu}_{\lambda\kappa}$ the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient for $Sp_n(\mathbf{C})$:

$$\pi^{C}_{\lambda}\otimes\pi^{C}_{\kappa}\cong\oplus_{\mu}N^{\mu}_{\lambda\kappa}\pi^{C}_{\mu}.$$

It is known that $N^{\mu}_{\lambda\kappa}$ may be expressed in terms of $M^{\mu}_{\lambda\kappa}$ as follows:

$$N^{\mu}_{\lambda\kappa}=\sum_{\nu,\sigma,\tau}M^{\lambda}_{\sigma\nu}M^{\mu}_{\tau\nu}M^{\kappa}_{\sigma\tau}$$

([4, §25.3]). From the Littlewood-Richardson rule, we find that

$$M^{(k)}_{\sigma\tau}=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \sigma=(r) \text{ and } \tau=(k-r) \text{ for some } 0\le r\le k,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then Pieri's rule (A.3) implies a Pieri-type rule for $Sp_n(\mathbf{C})$:

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\mu}_{\lambda(k)} &= \sum_{0\le r\le k}\sum_{\nu} M^{\lambda}_{(r)\nu}M^{\mu}_{(k-r)\nu} \\ &= \sum_{0\le r\le k}\ \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{P}^+_{n,r}(\lambda)}\ \sum_{\mu\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}^+_{n,k-r}(\nu)} 1 \\ &= \sharp\{\nu\in\mathcal{P}^+_n(\lambda)\cap\mathcal{P}^+_n(\mu)\mid |\lambda|+|\mu|-2|\nu|=k\}. \end{aligned}\tag{A.4}$$

Let h_k denote the kth complete symmetric polynomial in n variables:

$$h_k(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\sum_{1\le i_1\le\cdots\le i_k\le n} x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_k}.$$

If we set

$$\begin{aligned} h^A_k(a) &= h_r(a_1,\dots,a_n),\\ h^B_k(b) &= h_r(b_1,\dots,b_n,b_1^{-1},\dots,b_n^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

then h^A_k and h^B_k give the characters of representations with highest weight $(k,0,\dots,0)$ of $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ and $Sp_n(\mathbf{C})$, respectively. Thus (A.3) and (A.4) imply that

$$\sum_{\mu\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}^+_{n,k}(\lambda)} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\mu]) = h^A_k(a)\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\lambda])$$

and

$$\sum_{0\le r\le k}\ \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{P}^+_{n,k-r}(\lambda)}\ \sum_{\mu\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}^+_{n,r}(\nu)} \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\mu]) = h^B_k(b)\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda])$$

for $\lambda\in\mathcal{P}^+_n$. In light of the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^A_k(a)t^k &= \prod_{j=1}^{n}(1-a_jt)^{-1},\\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^B_k(b)t^k &= \prod_{j=1}^{n}\{(1-b_jt)(1-b_j^{-1}t)\}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at our nonarchimedean analogs of Propositions 1.9 and 1.10:

$$\sum_{\mu\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}^+_n(\lambda)} t^{|\mu|-|\lambda|}\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\mu]) = \Big[\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1-a_jt)^{-1}\Big]\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\lambda]),\tag{A.5}$$

$$\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{P}^+_n(\lambda)}\ \sum_{\mu\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}^+_n(\nu)} t^{|\lambda|+|\mu|-2|\nu|}\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\mu]) = \Big[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\{(1-b_jt)(1-b_j^{-1}t)\}^{-1}\Big]\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda]).\tag{A.6}$$

Using the above formulas, we now compute the unramified zeta integrals in a manner similar to that employed above, in the archimedean situation. The unramified zeta integrals for $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_n$ and $SO_{2n+1}\times GL_{n+1}$ are given by

$$J_{0,n;a,b}(s)=\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}^+_n}\widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\lambda])\widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda])q^{-|\lambda|s}$$

and

$$J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+} \widehat{W}^A_{n+1,a}(\alpha[\lambda, 0]) \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda]) q^{-|\lambda| s},$$

respectively. Here we have written $\alpha[\lambda, 0] = \alpha[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, 0]$.

We can relate $J_{0,n;a,b}(s)$ (respectively, $J_{1,n;a,b}(s)$) to $J_{1,n-1;a,\widetilde{b}}(s)$ (respectively, $J_{0,n;a,b}(s)$) as follows. From (A.2), we have

$$J_{0,n;a,b}(s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+(\lambda)} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^+(\nu)} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\lambda]) \widehat{W}^B_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(\beta[\mu]) b_n^{2|\nu| - |\lambda| - |\mu|} q^{-|\lambda| s}.$$

We use (A.5) with $t = b_n^{-1} q^{-s}$ and $t = b_n q^{-s}$ successively to sum over λ and ν:

$$\begin{aligned} &J_{0,n;a,b}(s) \\ &= \Big[\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - a_j b_n^{-1} q^{-s})^{-1}\Big] \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^+(\nu)} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\nu]) \widehat{W}^B_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(\beta[\mu]) b_n^{|\nu| - |\mu|} q^{-|\nu| s} \\ &= \Big[\prod_{j=1}^n \{(1 - a_j b_n q^{-s})(1 - a_j b_n^{-1} q^{-s})\}^{-1}\Big] \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^+} \widehat{W}^A_{n,a}(\alpha[\mu, 0]) \widehat{W}^B_{n-1,\widetilde{b}}(\beta[\mu]) q^{-|\mu| s} \\ &= \Big[\prod_{j=1}^n \{(1 - a_j b_n q^{-s})(1 - a_j b_n^{-1} q^{-s})\}^{-1}\Big] J_{1,n-1;a,\widetilde{b}}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us consider $J_{1,n;a,b}(s)$. We observe that (A.1) implies

$$J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+(\lambda)} \widehat{W}^A_{n,\widetilde{a}}(\alpha[\mu]) \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda]) a_{n+1}^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} q^{-|\lambda| s}.$$

Apply (A.5) with $t = a_{n+1} q^{-2s}$ to $\widehat{W}^A_{n,\widetilde{a}}(\alpha[\mu])$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - a_j a_{n+1} q^{-2s})^{-1}\Big] J_{1,n;a,b}(s) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+(\lambda)} \sum_{\nu \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n^+(\mu)} \widehat{W}^A_{n,\widetilde{a}}(\alpha[\nu]) \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda]) a_{n+1}^{|\lambda| + |\nu| - 2|\mu|} q^{-(|\lambda| + 2|\nu| - 2|\mu|)s} \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+} \widehat{W}^A_{n,\widetilde{a}}(\alpha[\nu]) q^{-|\nu| s} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+(\nu)} \sum_{\lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n^+(\mu)} \widehat{W}^B_{n,b}(\beta[\lambda]) (a_{n+1} q^{-s})^{|\nu| + |\lambda| - 2|\mu|}. \end{aligned}$$

By (A.6) with $t = a_{n+1} q^{-s}$, we can sum over λ and μ, to get

$$J_{1,n;a,b}(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \{(1 - a_{n+1} b_j q^{-s})(1 - a_{n+1} b_j^{-1} q^{-s})\}^{-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - a_j a_{n+1} q^{-2s})^{-1}} J_{0,n;\widetilde{a},b}(s),$$

and we are done.

References

[1] E.W. Barnes, A new development of the theory of hypergeometric functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. **2**, # 6 (1908), 141–177.

[2] D. Bump, Barnes' second lemma and its application to Rankin-Selberg convolutions, American Journal of Mathematics **109** (1987), 179–186.

[3] D. Bump, The Rankin-Selberg method: a survey. In: A Symposium in Honor of Atle Selberg, Oslo, Norway, July 14–21, 1987, Academic Press (1989).

[4] W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation theory. A first course. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. xvi+551 pp.

[5] S. Gelbart and F. Shahidi, Analytic properties of automorphic L-functions, Academic Press (1988).

[6] S. Gelbart, I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro and S. Rallis, Explicit constructions of automorphic L-functions, Lect. Note in Math. **1254**, Springer-Verlag (1987).

[7] D. Ginzburg, L-functions for $SO_n \times GL_k$, J. reine angew. Math. **405** (1990), 156–180.

[8] J. Hoffstein and M. R. Murty, L-series of automorphic forms on $\mathrm{GL}(3, R)$, In: Théorie des nombres (Quebec, PQ, 1987), de Gruyter, Berlin (1989).

[9] T. Ishii, Whittaker functions on orthogonal groups of odd degree, submitted.

[10] T. Ishii, Whittaker functions on real semisimple Lie groups of rank two. Canad. J. Math. **62**, #3 (2010), 563–581.

[11] T. Ishii and T. Moriyama, Spinor L-functions for generic cusp forms on $GSp(2)$ belonging to principal series representations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 5683–5709.

[12] T. Ishii and E. Stade, New formulas for Whittaker functions on $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$, J. Funct. Anal. **244** (2007), 289–314.

[13] H. Jacquet, Fonctions de Whittaker associées aux groupes de Chevalley, Bull. Soc. Math. France **95** (1967), 243–309.

[14] H. Jacquet, Automorphic Forms on $GL(2)$ Part II, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **278**, Springer-Verlag (1972).

[15] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. Shalika, Rankin-Selberg convolutions, American Journal of Mathematics **105**, #2 (1983), 367–464.

[16] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations I, American Journal of Mathematics **103**, #3 (1981), 499–558.

[17] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic forms II American Journal of Mathematics**103**, #4 (1981), 777–815.

[18] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, Rankin-Selberg convolutions: the Archimedean theory. In: Festschrift in Honor of Piatetski-Shapiro, Part I, American Mathematical Society (1990).

[19] B. Kostant, On Whittaker vectors and representation theory, Invent. Math. **48** (1978), 101–184.

[20] R.P. Langlands, Euler Products, Yale University Press (1967).

[21] S. Niwa, Commutation relations of differential operators and Whittaker functions on $Sp_2(\mathbf{R})$, Proc. Japan Acad. **71**, Ser A. (1995), 189–191.

[22] I. I. Piatetski–Shapiro, Euler subgroups. In: Lie Groups and their Representations, John Wiley and Sons (1975).

[23] J. Shalika, The multiplicity one theorem for $GL(n)$, Ann. of Math. **100** (1974), 171–193.

[24] D. Soudry, Rankin-Selberg covolutions for $SO(2l + 1) \times GL(n)$: local theory, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **500**, American Mathematical Society (1993).

[25] D. Soudry, On the Archimedean theory of Rankin-Selberg convolutions for $SO(2l + 1) \times GL(n)$, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.**28**, #2 (1995), 161–224.

[26] E. Stade, On explicit integral formulas for $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$-Whittaker functions, Duke Math. J. **60** (1990), 313–362.

[27] E. Stade, Hypergeometric series and Euler factors at infinity for L-functions on $GL(3, \mathbf{R}) \times GL(3, \mathbf{R})$, American Journal of Mathematics **115**, #2 (1993), 371–387.

[28] E. Stade, Mellin transforms of Whittaker functions on $GL(4, \mathbf{R})$ and $GL(4, \mathbf{C})$ Manuscripta Mathematica **87** (1995), 511–526.

[29] E. Stade, Mellin transforms of $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ Whittaker functions, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), 121–161.

[30] E. Stade, Archimedean L-factors on $GL(n) \times GL(n)$ and generalized Barnes integrals, Israel J. Math. **127** (2002), 201-220.

[31] E. Whittaker and G. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press (1902).

Faculty of Science and Technology, Seikei University, 3-3-1 Kichijoji-Kitamachi, Musashino, Tokyo, 180-8633, Japan
E-mail address: `ishii@st.seikei.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA
E-mail address: `stade@colorado.edu`